

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL AND ELECTRONIC MAIL

August 12, 2020

RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Joanna Opela, Treasurer Trail Blazers for Michael Opela Committee 228045 Weinkauf Road Edgar, WI 54426

RE: MUR 7702 Tricia Zunker for Wisconsin and Paul DeMain, as treasurer

Dear Ms. Opela:

The Federal Election Commission has considered the allegations contained in your complaint dated February 16, 2020. On August 6, 2020, based upon the information provided in the complaint, and information provided by the respondents, the Commission decided to exercise its prosecutorial discretion to dismiss the allegations as to Tricia Zunker for Wisconsin and Paul DeMain, in his official capacity as treasurer, and Tricia Zunker, and closed its file in this matter. The General Counsel's Report, which more fully explains the basis for the Commission's decision, is enclosed.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. *See Disclosure of Certain Documents in Enforcement and Other Matters*, 81 Fed. Reg. 50,702 (Aug. 2, 2016), effective September 1, 2016.

The Federal Election Campaign Act allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. *See* 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(8). If you have any questions, please contact Don Campbell, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Lisa J. Stevenson Acting General Counsel

BY: Jeff S. Jordan Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure General Counsel's Report

$\frac{1}{2}$	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION		
3	ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM		
4 5	DISMISSAL REPORT		
6 7 8 9	MUR: MUR 7702	Respondent:	Tricia Zunker for Wisconsin and Paul DeMain, as treasurer (the "Committee") Tricia Zunker
10 11	Complaint Receipt Date: Februar	v 18. 2020	
12	Response Date: March 06, 2020		
13			
14 15			
16	Alleged Statutory/	52 U.S.C. §§ 30101(2), 30102(e), 30104(a), (b), 30120	
17	Regulatory Violations:	11 C.F.R. §§ 100.3,	102.12, 104.1, 104.2, 104.3, 110.11
18 19	The Complaint alleges that Zunker improperly filed her Statement of Candidacy, and the		
17			
20	Committee improperly filed its Statement of Organization and failed to file its 2019 Year-End		
21	financial disclosure report. ¹ The Complaint further alleges that the Committee included inadequate		
22	disclaimers on yard signs and social media posts. ² The Response asserts that Zunker's Statement of		
23	Candidacy and the Committee's Statement of Organization complied with the requirements of the		
24	Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), and Commission regulations, and		
25	states that the Commission waived the requirement to file a Year-End Report for authorized		
26	committees participating in Wisconsin's 7th District Special Primary. ³ Respondents also assert that		
27	the Committee displayed the proper disclaimers on its social media and public communications. ⁴		

¹ Compl. at 1-2 (Feb. 18, 2020). Zunker is a Democratic candidate in the 2020 Special Election race for U.S. Representative in Wisconsin's 7th District. Zunker won the special primary election with 88.8% of the vote.

 $^{^2}$ Id. The Complaint also alleges that Zunker failed to file a personal Financial Disclosure Statement, however, this issue is not within the Commission's jurisdiction. Id.

³ Resp. at 1 (Mar. 06, 2020). *See also* <u>https://www.fec.gov/help-candidates-and-committees/dates-and-deadlines/2020-reporting-dates/special-election-report-notice-wi07/</u>. The Committee filed its 2020 Pre-Primary Report on February 6, 2020, and filed one 48-Hour Notice of Contributions Received.

EPS Dismissal Report MUR 7702 (Tricia Zunker for Wisconsin) Page 2 of 2

14

15 16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

30

06.17.20

Date

1 Based on its experience and expertise, the Commission has established an Enforcement 2 Priority System using formal, pre-determined scoring criteria to allocate agency resources and 3 assess whether particular matters warrant further administrative enforcement proceedings. These 4 criteria include (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, taking into account both the type of activity 5 and the amount in violation; (2) the apparent impact the alleged violation may have had on the 6 electoral process; (3) the complexity of the legal issues raised in the matter; and (4) recent trends in 7 potential violations and other developments in the law. This matter is rated as low priority for 8 Commission action after application of these pre-established criteria. Given that low rating, the 9 technical nature of the allegations, and the apparent steps taken by the Respondents to comply with the Act and Commission regulations,⁵ we recommend that the Commission dismiss the Complaint 10 11 consistent with the Commission's prosecutorial discretion to determine the proper ordering of its priorities and use of agency resources.⁶ We also recommend that the Commission close the file as 12 13 to all respondents and send the appropriate letters.

> Lisa J. Stevenson Acting General Counsel

Charles Kitcher Acting Associate General Counsel

Stephen Gura Deputy Associate General Counsel

Jeff S. Jordan

Assistant General Counsel

Donald E. Campbell Attorney

BY:

⁵ Respondents state that the Committee's public communications included proper disclaimers, but did not provide examples supporting this statement, and this office is unable to discern the sufficiency of the disclaimers due to the lack of clarity in the images attached to the Complaint.

⁶ *Heckler v. Chaney*, 470 U.S. 821, 831-32 (1985).