

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20463

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL AND ELECTRONIC MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

July 29, 2020

Christopher Watson

Morris, MN 56267

RE: MUR 7676

Michelle Fischbach Fischbach for Congress and Paul Kilgore, as treasurer

Dear Mr. Watson:

The Federal Election Commission has considered the allegations contained in your complaint received December 27, 2020. On July 24, 2020, based upon the information provided in the complaint, and information provided by the respondents, the Commission decided to exercise its prosecutorial discretion to dismiss the allegations as to Michelle Fischbach, Fischbach for Congress and Paul Kilgore, as treasurer, and closed its file in this matter. The General Counsel's Report, which more fully explains the basis for the Commission's decision, is enclosed.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. *See Disclosure of Certain Documents in Enforcement and Other Matters*, 81 Fed. Reg. 50,702 (Aug. 2, 2016), effective September 1, 2016.

The Federal Election Campaign Act allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. *See* 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(8). If you have any questions, please contact Don Campbell, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Lisa J. Stevenson

Acting General Counsel

BY: Jeff S. Jordan

Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure General Counsel's Report

1	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM DISMISSAL REPORT				
1 2 3 4 5					
6 7 8 9	MUR: 7676 Res	spondent:	Michelle Fischbach Fischbach for Congress and Paul Kilgore, as treasurer (the "Committee")		
11 12 13 14 15	Complaint Receipt Date: December 27, 2019 Response Date: February 13, 2020	9			
16 17 18	Alleged Statutory/ Regulatory Violations:		S.C. § 30120(a)(1) and (c)(1-3); F.R. § 110.11(a)(1), (b)(1), (c)(1-2)		
19	The Complaint alleges that the Commit	ttee distribu	ted, via email, two fundraising letters that		
20	lacked a complete box around the Committee's disclaimers, asserting that both letters have a partial				
21	line above and below the disclaimer. The Response argues that emails do not require a box around				
22	disclaimers because that requirement applies only to printed public communications, and, in any event,				
23	any violation is technical. ²				
24	Based on its experience and expertise, the Commission has established an Enforcement				
25	Priority System using formal, pre-determined scoring criteria to allocate agency resources and				
26	assess whether particular matters warrant further administrative enforcement proceedings. These				
27	criteria include (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, taking into account both the type of activity				
28	and the amount in violation; (2) the apparent impact the alleged violation may have had on the				
29	electoral process; (3) the complexity of the leg	al issues rai	sed in the matter; and (4) recent trends in		
30	potential violations and other developments in the law. This matter is rated as low priority for				
31	Commission action after application of these p	re-establish	ed criteria. Given that low rating, the		

.

Compl. at 1 (December 27, 2019). The Complaint included copies of the two emails. *Id.* at 2-5.

² Resp. at 2-3 (February 13, 2020), citing 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(c)(2).

MUR767600020

EPS Dismissal Report MUR 7676 (Fischbach for Congress) Page 2 of 2

- technical nature of the alleged violation, and that recipients would likely understand that the
- 2 Committee was responsible for the emails, we recommend that the Commission dismiss the
- 3 Complaint consistent with the Commission's prosecutorial discretion to determine the proper
- 4 ordering of its priorities and use of agency resources.³ We also recommend that the Commission
- 5 close the file as to all Respondents and send the appropriate letters.

6			
7			Lisa J. Stevenson
8			Acting General Counsel
9			_
10			Charles Kitcher
11			Acting Associate General Counsel
12			Sa o
13	3.6.20	BY:	Stephen fina
14	Date		Stephen Gura
15			Deputy Associate General Counsel
16			John (ks
17			70 7
18			Jeff S. Jordan
19			Assistant General Counsel
20			7
21			Calais CAMBH
22			Donald E. Campbell
23			Attorney

-

³ *Heckler v. Chaney*, 470 U.S. 821, 831-32 (1985).