MUR763300030



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Tom Norton for Congress Jeff Fuss, Treasurer 4208 Petis Ave. Ada, MI 48301 jefffuss@reagan.com July 29, 2020

RE: MUR 7633 Tom Norton for Congress and Jeff Fuss, as Treasurer

Dear Mr. Fuss:

On August 12, 2019, the Federal Election Commission ("Commission") notified Tom Norton for Congress and you, in your official capacity as treasurer, of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"). On July 21, 2020, based upon the information contained in the complaint and available information, the Commission decided to dismiss allegations that Tom Norton for Congress and you, in your official capacity as treasurer, violated provisions of the Act. The Commission then closed its file in this matter. A copy of the General Counsel's Report, which more fully explains the basis for the Commission's decision, is enclosed.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66132 (Dec. 14, 2009). If you have any questions, please contact Don Campbell, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Lisa J. Stevenson Acting General Counsel

BY:

Y: Jeff S. Jordan Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure: General Counsel's Report

$\frac{1}{2}$	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION			
1 2 3	ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM			
4 5	DISMISSAL REPORT			
5 6 7 8 9	MUR: 7633	Respondent:	Tom Norton for Congress and Jeff Fuss as Treasurer ¹ ("the Committee")	
10	Complaint Receipt Date: August 7, 2019			
11	Response Date: N/A ²			
12 13	Complaint Supplement Receipt Date: November 13, 2019 Response Date: N/A			
13 14	Response Date. WA			
15				
16	A 11 1 5 4 - 4 4	53 ILC () 8 2010 4(-)	$(\mathbf{L})(\mathbf{A})$ (\mathbf{C}) (\mathbf{O}) .	
17 18	Alleged Statutory Regulatory Violations:	52 U.S.C. § 30104(a) 11 C.F.R. §§ 104.3(h), (b)(4)-(6), (8);), (d); 104.11(a)-(b), 116.10(a)	
19	regulatory (rolations)), (u), 10 111(u) (v), 110110(u)	
20	The Complainant, the former campai	ign manager for the Co	ommittee, alleges that he and	
21	other vendors issued invoices totaling \$16,574.88 to the campaign that were not paid and were not			
22	included in reports filed with the Commission. ³ The Supplement states that the Complainant has			
23	filed three small claims actions against the Committee, and has received a default judgment for two			
24	of the claims, with the third still pending. ⁴			
25	Based on its experience and experti	se, the Commission ha	s established an Enforcement	
26	Priority System using formal, pre-determine	d scoring criteria to all	ocate agency resources and	
27	assess whether particular matters warrant fur	rther administrative en	forcement proceedings. These	

⁴ Compl. Supp. at 1-3 (November 13, 2019).

¹ Norton is a 2020 candidate for Michigan's Third Congressional District.

² The Committee did not respond to either the Complaint or the Supplement to the Complaint.

³ Compl. at 1-4 (August 7, 2019). The Complaint specifies that the total of unreported invoices from the Complainant's company is \$10,574.88, and that additional unreported invoices from other parties total \$6,000. Reports filed with the Commission indicate the Committee has disbursed \$4,319 to the Complainant's company and, thus, may have reported some, but not all, of the allegedly unreported transactions. *See, e.g.*, Tom Norton for Congress 2019 July Quarterly at 10-13, available at https://docquery fec.gov/pdf/110/201907159150994110/201907159150994110.pdf.

EPS Dismissal Report MUR 7633 (Tom Norton for Congress) Page 2 of 2

1	criteria include (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, taking into account both the type of activity			
2	and the amount in violation; (2) the apparent impact the alleged violation may have had on the			
3	electoral process; (3) the complexity of the legal issues raised in the matter; and (4) recent trends in			
4	potential violations and other developments in th	e law. This matter is rated as low priority for		
5	Commission action after application of these pre-established criteria. Given that low rating and the			
6	lower dollar amount at issue, we recommend that the Commission dismiss the Complaint consistent			
7	with the Commission's prosecutorial discretion to determine the proper ordering of its priorities and			
8	use of agency resources. ⁵ We also recommend that the Commission close the file as to all			
9	Respondents and send the appropriate letters.			
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	12/20/19 Date BY:	Lisa J. Stevenson Acting General Counsel Charles Kitcher Acting Associate General Counsel Stephen Gura by Stephen Gura Deputy Associate General Counsel		
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26		Jeff S. Jordan Assistant General Counsel Donald E. Campbell Attorney		

5

Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821, 831-32 (1985).