MUR763300027



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463

July 29, 2020

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL AND ELECTRONIC MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Ivan Assenov Scale Campaign 3168 Beckie Dr. SW Wyoming, MI 49418 ivan@scalecampaign.com

RE: MUR 7633 Tom Norton for Congress and Jeff Fuss, as Treasurer

Dear Mr. Assenov:

The Federal Election Commission has considered the allegations contained in your complaint dated August 1, 2019. On July 21, 2020, based upon the information provided in the complaint, and information provided by the respondents, the Commission decided to exercise its prosecutorial discretion to dismiss the allegations as to Tom Norton for Congress and Jeff Fuss, as Treasurer, and closed its file in this matter. The General Counsel's Report, which more fully explains the basis for the Commission's decision, is enclosed.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. *See Disclosure of Certain Documents in Enforcement and Other Matters*, 81 Fed. Reg. 50,702 (Aug. 2, 2016), effective September 1, 2016.

The Federal Election Campaign Act allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. *See* 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(8). If you have any questions, please contact Don Campbell, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Lisa J. Stevenson Acting General Counsel

BY:

Jeff S. Jordan Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure General Counsel's Report

$\frac{1}{2}$	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION			
1 2 3	ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM			
4 5	DISMISSAL REPORT			
5 6 7 8 9	MUR: 7633	Respondent:	Tom Norton for Congress and Jeff Fuss as Treasurer ¹ ("the Committee")	
10	Complaint Receipt Date: August 7, 2019			
11	Response Date: N/A ²			
12	Complaint Supplement Receipt Date: November 13, 2019			
13 14	Response Date: N/A			
15				
16				
17	Alleged Statutory	52 U.S.C. § 30104(a)		
18 19	Regulatory Violations:	11 C.F.K. §§ 104.3(b), (d); 104.11(a)-(b) , 116.10(a)	
20	The Complainant, the former campa	ign manager for the Co	ommittee, alleges that he and	
21	other vendors issued invoices totaling \$16,574.88 to the campaign that were not paid and were not			
22	included in reports filed with the Commission. ³ The Supplement states that the Complainant has			
23	filed three small claims actions against the Committee, and has received a default judgment for two			
24	of the claims, with the third still pending. ⁴			
25	Based on its experience and expert	ise, the Commission ha	s established an Enforcement	
26	Priority System using formal, pre-determine	ed scoring criteria to all	ocate agency resources and	
27	assess whether particular matters warrant fu	rther administrative en	forcement proceedings. These	

⁴ Compl. Supp. at 1-3 (November 13, 2019).

¹ Norton is a 2020 candidate for Michigan's Third Congressional District.

² The Committee did not respond to either the Complaint or the Supplement to the Complaint.

³ Compl. at 1-4 (August 7, 2019). The Complaint specifies that the total of unreported invoices from the Complainant's company is \$10,574.88, and that additional unreported invoices from other parties total \$6,000. Reports filed with the Commission indicate the Committee has disbursed \$4,319 to the Complainant's company and, thus, may have reported some, but not all, of the allegedly unreported transactions. *See, e.g.*, Tom Norton for Congress 2019 July Quarterly at 10-13, available at https://docquery fec.gov/pdf/110/201907159150994110/201907159150994110.pdf.

EPS Dismissal Report MUR 7633 (Tom Norton for Congress) Page 2 of 2

1	criteria include (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, taking into account both the type of activity			
2	and the amount in violation; (2) the apparent impact the alleged violation may have had on the			
3	electoral process; (3) the complexity of the legal issues raised in the matter; and (4) recent trends in			
4	potential violations and other developments in th	e law. This matter is rated as low priority for		
5	Commission action after application of these pre-established criteria. Given that low rating and the			
6	lower dollar amount at issue, we recommend that the Commission dismiss the Complaint consistent			
7	with the Commission's prosecutorial discretion to determine the proper ordering of its priorities and			
8	use of agency resources. ⁵ We also recommend that the Commission close the file as to all			
9	Respondents and send the appropriate letters.			
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	12/20/19 Date BY:	Lisa J. Stevenson Acting General Counsel Charles Kitcher Acting Associate General Counsel Stephen Gura by Stephen Gura Deputy Associate General Counsel		
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26		Jeff S. Jordan Assistant General Counsel Donald E. Campbell Attorney		

5

Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821, 831-32 (1985).