$\frac{1}{2}$	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION		
1 2 3	ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM		
4	DISMISSAL REPORT		
5 6 7 8 9	MUR: 7633	Respondent:	Tom Norton for Congress and Jeff Fuss as Treasurer ¹ ("the Committee")
10	Complaint Receipt Date: August 7, 2019		
11	Response Date: N/A ²		
12	Complaint Supplement Receipt Date: November 13, 2019		
13 14	Response Date: N/A		
15	EPS Rating:		
16	-		
17	Alleged Statutory	52 U.S.C. § 30104(a)	
18 19	Regulatory Violations:	11 C.F.R. §§ 104.3(b), (d); 104.11(a)-(b) , 116.10(a)
20	The Complainant, the former campa	ign manager for the Co	ommittee, alleges that he and
21	other vendors issued invoices totaling \$16,574.88 to the campaign that were not paid and were not		
22	included in reports filed with the Commission. ³ The Supplement states that the Complainant has		
23	filed three small claims actions against the Committee, and has received a default judgment for two		
24	of the claims, with the third still pending. ⁴		
25	Based on its experience and experti	ise, the Commission ha	s established an Enforcement
26	Priority System using formal, pre-determined scoring criteria to allocate agency resources and		
27	assess whether particular matters warrant fu	rther administrative en	forcement proceedings. These

⁴ Compl. Supp. at 1-3 (November 13, 2019).

¹ Norton is a 2020 candidate for Michigan's Third Congressional District.

² The Committee did not respond to either the Complaint or the Supplement to the Complaint.

³ Compl. at 1-4 (August 7, 2019). The Complaint specifies that the total of unreported invoices from the Complainant's company is \$10,574.88, and that additional unreported invoices from other parties total \$6,000. Reports filed with the Commission indicate the Committee has disbursed \$4,319 to the Complainant's company and, thus, may have reported some, but not all, of the allegedly unreported transactions. *See, e.g.*, Tom Norton for Congress 2019 July Quarterly at 10-13, available at https://docquery fec.gov/pdf/110/201907159150994110/201907159150994110.pdf.

EPS Dismissal Report MUR 7633 (Tom Norton for Congress) Page 2 of 2

criteria include (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, taking into account both the type of activity 1 2 and the amount in violation; (2) the apparent impact the alleged violation may have had on the electoral process; (3) the complexity of the legal issues raised in the matter; and (4) recent trends in 3 potential violations and other developments in the law. This matter is rated as low priority for 4 5 Commission action after application of these pre-established criteria. Given that low rating and the 6 lower dollar amount at issue, we recommend that the Commission dismiss the Complaint consistent 7 with the Commission's prosecutorial discretion to determine the proper ordering of its priorities and use of agency resources.⁵ We also recommend that the Commission close the file as to all 8 9 Respondents and send the appropriate letters. Lisa J. Stevenson 10 Acting General Counsel 11 12 13 Charles Kitcher Acting Associate General Counsel 14 15 12/20/19 by Stephen Gura BY: 16 Stephen Gura 17 Date Deputy Associate General Counsel 18 19 20 21 Jeff S. Jordan 22 Assistant General Counsel 23 24 25 Donald E. Campbell Attorney 26

5

Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821, 831-32 (1985).