

JUNE 17, 2019

Correction of complaint due to lack of
"sworn and notarized"MUR # 7619

From: Cris Ericson

Chester, Vermont 05143

<http://crisericson.com><http://indyvt.com>Perennial political candidate
on the official election ballot
in Vermont 2002-2018
under state Laws which must
be recognized in all states.

2019 JUN 21 PM 1:30

OFFICE OF
GENERAL COUNSEL

To: Federal Election Commission

Mary de Beau

Paralegal Specialist

Complaints Examination + Legal Administration

999 E Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20463

I swear before a
notary in the Town of Chester, Vermont 01543
that the contents of my complaint are
true to the best of my knowledge.

My complaint is as
follows, with additional information added
to my Letter ^{c.e.} received by FEC on
June 10, 2019:

page 1 of 5 pgs

I, Cris Ericson, am a perennial political candidate in Vermont and I have been on the Vermont official election ballot every two years for federal office 2004 through and including 2018. I have never received enough campaign funds to meet federal reporting requirements. Vermont PBS refused to include me in any candidate debates in 2018.

Have I been wrongfully discriminated against for being poor? Do poor candidates suffer because the FEC reporting requirements are like "poll taxes" enforced when "people of color" were called "negroes" and suffered "scrutiny tests"? Does the FEC deny candidates equal protection under the Laws they create? Are the FEC Laws "rational" or are they "arbitrary and capricious"? Have my fundamental rights been violated?

Has Vermont PBS manipulated their procedures to keep me from being admitted to their debates which are, in part, federally funded? Are their standards manipulated to maintain "segregation" to enforce the ruling class of Democrats and Republicans over independent candidates? Does Vermont PBS act to discriminate against me with virtually uncontrolled discretion of intent as to who should win the election and who should not?

The FEC rules (I only have 2002 books) state that "electioneering communication" does not include candidate debates. (Title 2 ^{u.s.c.} or Title 11 CFR) under "Exceptions."

FEC rules do not allow foreign nationals, directly or indirectly, to make a contribution or donations to political campaigns; BUT does this exclude candidate debates where VERMONT PBS MAY RECEIVE DONATIONS FROM CANADIANS?

IF Vermont PBS receives a significant amount of donations from Canadians who are foreigners, because Vermont PBS is in the veiwing area of Canada, then are the FEC Laws in error

if they allow Canadians to support Vermont PBS with donations, which includes funding pditical candidate debates, which are an "Exclusion" from "electioneering" activities.

In other words, is the FEC seriously and willfully and intentionally, by an error in their rules, allowing foreign influence in federal elections in the USA?

Did Vermont PBS exclude me (Cris Ericson) from debates in 2018, whereas in previous years they included me, because some Canadians were reported

in news stories as wanting the right to vote in some towns in Vermont because some Canadians owned businesses and pay taxes in Vermont (i.e.)

Did any Canadian, or any lawyer or lobbyist, PAY VERMONT PBS TO EXCLUDE ME? (E.)

Did any Lawyer or Lobbyist for any Canadian business pay Vermont PBS to exclude me (Cris Ericson) from 2018 debates because I am opposed to foreigners voting in the USA and some Canadians want to vote in the USA because they own businesses in Vermont and pay taxes here.

My creed, My beliefs are opposed to foreigners voting in the USA. Did Vermont PBS discriminate against me because of my creed, my political beliefs?

Cris Ericson
June 17, 2019

**STATE OF VERMONT
WINDSOR COUNTY**

SWORN TO BEFORE ME AND IN MY

presence this 17th day of June, 2019

Walter S. Vance

Notary Public

My Commission Expires
2/31/21