## BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION 1 2 **ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM** 3 **DISMISSAL REPORT** 4 5 MUR: 7559 Respondents: Kootenai County Republican 6 Central Committee (the "Committee"), 7 Melanie Vander Feer 8 9 Complaint Receipt Date: December 21, 2018 10 Response Dates: February 14, 2019, February 15, 2019, February 28, 2019 11 12 **EPS Rating:** 13 14 Alleged Statutory/ 52 U.S.C. § 30120(a), (c)(2); 15 **Regulatory Violations:** 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(a), (c)(2)(ii) 16 17 The Complaint alleges that an unknown person mailed a flyer lacking a proper disclaimer 18 shortly before the 2018 general election that advocated for the election of congressional candidate 19 Russ Fulcher. 1 The flyer states that it is from "your Conservative Republican Precinct 20 Committeeman," which the Complainant alleges is false.<sup>2</sup> The Committee acknowledges the 21 22 omission of the "Paid for by" disclaimer on the flyer, and attributes the error to a misunderstanding with the printer.<sup>3</sup> The owner of Upscale Mail, the printer, responded that Upscale Mail produced 23 and mailed the flyer for a total cost of \$83.81.4 24 Based on its experience and expertise, the Commission has established an Enforcement 25 26 Priority System using formal, pre-determined scoring criteria to allocate agency resources and assess whether particular matters warrant further administrative enforcement proceedings. These 27

criteria include (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, taking into account both the type of activity

28

Compl. at 2, 4 (December 21, 2018). Russ Fulcher was a 2018 candidate in Idaho's 1st Congressional District. The mailer also endorsed state and local candidates. *Id.* at 5.

Id. at 2, 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Committee Resp. at 1 (February 15, 2009).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Upscale Mail Resp. at 1 (February 14, 2019).

EPS Dismissal Report — MUR 7559 (Kootenai County Republican Central Committee, et al.)
Page 2 of 2

- and the amount in violation; (2) the apparent impact the alleged violation may have had on the
- electoral process; (3) the complexity of the legal issues raised in the matter; and (4) recent trends in
- 3 potential violations and other developments in the law. This matter is rated as low priority for
- 4 Commission action after application of these pre-established criteria. Given that low rating and the
- 5 low dollar amount spent to copy and mail the flyer, we recommend that the Commission dismiss the
- 6 Complaint consistent with the Commission's prosecutorial discretion to determine the proper
- 7 ordering of its priorities and use of agency resources.<sup>5</sup> We also recommend that the Commission
- 8 close the file and send the appropriate letters.

9 10 11 12		·	Lisa J. Stevenson Acting General Counsel
13			·
14			Charles Kitcher
15		•	Acting Associate General Counsel
16			
17			1-0.0
18	8.5.19	BY:	Stephen juna
19	Date		Stephen Gura
20			Deputy Associate General Counsel
21			$\mathcal{L}$ /by $\mathcal{KP}$
22			Jeff Jordan 1by KP
23			
24			Jeff S. Jordan
25			Assistant General Counsel
26			
27			
28			Edelan & CANBON
29			Donald E. Campbell
30			Attorney

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821, 831-32 (1985).