

Nicole Francis, Treasurer Jim Francis for Congress P.O. Box 3521 Covington, LA 70434

AUG 08 2018

RE: MUR 7400

Jim Francis for Congress and Nicole Francis, as treasurer

Dear Ms. Francis:

On June 6, 2018, the Federal Election Commission ("Commission") notified you of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"). On August 1, 2018, based upon the information contained in the complaint and information provided by respondents, the Commission decided to dismiss allegations that Jim Francis for Congress and you in your official capacity as treasurer violated provisions of the Act. The Commission then closed its file in this matter. A copy of the General Counsel's Report, which more fully explains the basis for the Commission's decision, is enclosed.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66132 (Dec. 14, 2009). If you have any questions, please contact Kristina Portner, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1518.

Sincerely,

Lisa J. Stevenson

Acting General Counsel

BY:

Jeff S. Jordan

Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure:

General Counsel's Report

BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM DISMISSAL REPORT

MUR: 7400 Respondents: Jim Francis for Congress

and Nicole Francis, as Treasurer

Complaint Receipt Date: May 30, 2018 ("the Committee"), 1

Response Date: June 28, 2018 Jim Francis

EPS Rating:

Alleged Statutory 52 U.S.C. §§ 30102(e)(1), 30104(a)(1), (b)

Regulatory Violations: 11 C.F.R. §§ 101.1(a), 104.3(b)(2), 104.14(a), 104.18(g)

The Complaint alleges that Francis and the Committee violated the Act and Commission regulations by failing to (1) timely file a Statement of Candidacy, (2) properly report disbursements, debts and obligations, or in-kind contributions for assorted campaign expenses, and (3) have the Committee's treasurer sign its 2018 April Quarterly Report. Respondents state that Francis filed a Statement of Candidacy on February 22, 2018, but was informed on May 14, 2018, by a third party that it was not on the Commission's website, so Francis filed an amended Statement of Candidacy that same day. Respondents admit that the Committee inadvertently omitted disbursements on its initial 2018 April Quarterly Report and that its treasurer did not sign that report. They state that the Committee's Amended 2018 April Quarterly Report, filed June 22, 2018, corrected both errors.²

Based on its experience and expertise, the Commission has established an Enforcement

Priority System using formal, pre-determined scoring criteria to allocate agency resources and

assess whether particular matters warrant further administrative enforcement proceedings. These

Jim Francis is a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives for Louisiana's First Congressional District. Jim Francis for Congress is his principal campaign committee.

The Respondents do not indicate whether the candidate was authorized to sign the Committee's 2018 April Quarterly Report. See 11 C.F.R §§ 102.7(a), 104.14(a), 104.18(g). Instead, the Committee states the original signature was a "clerical error." The amended report disclosed \$883.63 in disbursements, none of which were disclosed on the original report.

EPS Dismissal Report—MUR 7400 (Jim Francis for Congress, et al.) Page 2 of 2

criteria include (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, taking into account both the type of activity and the amount in violation; (2) the apparent impact the alleged violation may have had on the electoral process; (3) the complexity of the legal issues raised in the matter; and (4) recent trends in potential violations and other developments in the law. This matter is rated as low priority for Commission action after application of these pre-established criteria. Given that low rating, the relatively modest amounts at issue, and the remedial actions taken by Respondents, we recommend that the Commission dismiss the Complaint consistent with the Commission's prosecutorial discretion to determine the proper ordering of its priorities and use of agency resources. Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821, 831-32 (1985). We also recommend that the Commission close the file as to all Respondents and send the appropriate letters.

Lisa J. Stevenson Acting General Counsel

Kathleen M. Guith

Associate General Counsel

July 18, 2018

Date

BY:

Stephen Gura

Deputy Associate General Counsel

leff S. Jordan

Assistant General Counsel

Kristina M. Portner

Attorney