JUL 23 2018

Sandy Pensler

Grosse Pointe Perk, MI 48230

RE:

MUR 7371

Sandy Pensler

Dear Mr. Pensler:

On April 27, 2018, the Federal Election Commission ("Commission") notified you of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"). On July 19, 2018, based upon the information contained in the complaint and information provided by respondents, the Commission decided to find no reason to believe the allegations that you violated provisions of the Act. The Commission then closed its file in this matter. A copy of the Factual and Legal Analysis, which more fully explains the basis for the Commission's decision, is enclosed.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66132 (Dec. 14, 2009). If you have any questions, please contact Kristina Portner, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1518.

Sincerely,

Lisa J. Stevenson

Acting General Counsel

BY:

Assistant Géneral Counsel

Enclosure:

Factual and Legal Analysis

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	RESPONDENTS:	Pensler for Senate and Justin Brown in his official of Sandy Pensler, Brian Calley for Governor ar Jordan Bush in his official ca Brian Calley	ad .
10	This matter was generated by a complaint alleging violations of the Federal Election		
11	Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act") and Commission regulations by Pensler for		
12	Senate and Justin Brown in his official capacity as treasurer ("the Pensler Committee"), Sandy		
13	Pensler, Brian Calley for Governor and Jordan Bush in his official capacity as treasurer ("the		
14	Calley Committee"), and Brian Calley. It was scored as a low-rated matter under the		
15	Enforcement Priority System, by which the Commission uses formal scoring criteria as a basis		
16	allocate its resources and decide which matters to pursue.		
17	Based upon news reports, Complainant alleges that the nominating petition submitted by		
18	Calley included at least 47 sheets containing signatures collected for Pensler's nominating		
19	petition and, therefore, asserts that the Calley Committee gathered signatures for Pensler's		
20	nominating petition. The Calley Committee denies that it paid to gather signatures for Pensler		
21	rather, it states that it paid a vendor to collect signatures for Calley. ² The Pensler Committee		
22	also states that it paid a vendor to collect signatures for its own nominating petition, no other		
23	campaign subsidized its collection process, and it does not know why the collection vendor held		
24	petitions for more than one candidate. ³		

¹ Compl. at 1-2 (Apr. 23, 2018).

² Calley Committee Resp. at 1 (May 15, 2018).

Pensler Committee Resp. at 1, Exs. 1-11 (June 14, 2018).

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Case Closure — MUR 7371 (Pensler for Senate, et al.) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 2

The Act and Commission regulations provide that no person may make contributions to
any candidate or his authorized political committee that exceed the contribution limits
established by 52 U.S.C. §30116.⁴ The provision of any goods or services without charge or at
a charge that is less than the usual and normal charge for such goods or services is an in-kind
contribution.⁵
The news reports the Complaint cites are the only sources that suggest that the Calley

The news reports the Complaint cites are the only sources that suggest that the Calley Committee contributed to the Pensler Committee by gathering signatures for Pensler's nominating petition. Both committees specifically deny that the Calley Committee paid to gather signatures for the Pensler Committee, and the Pensler Committee's reports disclose disbursements to its own signature collection firm. Therefore, the Commission finds no reason to believe that Pensler for Senate and Justin Brown, in his official capacity as treasurer, Sandy Pensler, Brian Calley for Governor and Jordan Bush, in his official capacity as treasurer, and Brian Calley violated the Act or Commission regulations.

⁵² U.S.C. § 30116(a); 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(b). See also 52 U.S.C. § 30125(e)(1)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 300.61(prohibition on federal candidate or his agents from soliciting, receiving, directing, transferring or spending funds in connection with a federal campaign unless the funds are subject to the limitations, prohibitions, and reporting requirements of the Act). The Complaint suggests that the Pensler Committee may have accepted funds in violation of 52 U.S.C. § 30125(e)(1)(A), but provides no further substantiation other than a reference to the 47 petition sheets.

⁵ 11 C.F.R. § 100.52(d).

The Complainant cites to reports indicating that both committees used the same vendor. However, the Calley Committee states it used The Stroud Company, while the Pensler Committee states (and provides documentation) it used 190 Personnel LLC. See Calley Committee Resp. at 1; Pensler Committee Resp. at 1.

In its response, the Pensler Committee asserts that it disclosed \$26,154 in payments for signature collection. Pensler Committee Resp. at 1. The Pensler Committee actually disclosed a total of \$79,665 in payments to its signature collection firm, 190 Personnel LLC, in its April 2018 Quarterly Report.