

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463

August 22, 2013

<u>CERTIFIED MAIL</u> RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

ADVISORY OPINION 2013-09

Dan Backer, Esq. DB Capitol Strategies, PLLC 717 King Street, Suite 300 Alexandria, VA 22314

Paul D. Kamenar, Esq. Coolidge Reagan Foundation 1629 K Street, N.W., Suite 300 Washington, DC 20036

Dear Messrs. Backer and Kamenar:

We are responding to your advisory opinion request on behalf of the Special Operations Speaks PAC ("SOS") and Robert L. Maness concerning the application of the Federal Election Campaign Act (the "Act") and Commission regulations to contributions made by a political committee that is not a "multicandidate political committee." The requestors ask whether the Act's definition of a "multicandidate political committee" prevents SOS from making contributions in excess of \$2,600 per election to Maness, who is a candidate for federal office, and whether Maness is prohibited from accepting such contributions. The Commission concludes that because SOS has not yet qualified as a multicandidate political committee, the Act currently prohibits SOS from contributing more than \$2,600 per election to Maness, and it prohibits Maness from knowingly accepting more than \$2,600 per election from SOS.¹

¹ The requestors asked for this advisory opinion to be issued within 20 days under 11 C.F.R. § 112.4(b). That provision, however, applies only when a candidate submits a request "within the 60 calendar days preceding the date of any election in which the candidate is seeking nomination or election." *Id.*; *see also* 2 U.S.C. § 437f(a)(2). Because the request states that the election in question is "to be held on November 4, 2014" — which is more than 60 days after the request was submitted on July 10, 2013 — this request does not qualify for expedition under section 112.4(b).

AO 2012-09 Page 2

Background

The facts presented in this advisory opinion are based on your letter received on July 10, 2013.

SOS is a nonconnected hybrid political committee.² It registered as a political committee on July 2, 2012. SOS represents that it has made contributions to three federal candidates and has "thousands of grassroots contributors." One of SOS's contributions was to Maness — a 2014 candidate for the U.S. Senate from Louisiana — in the amount of \$2,600. SOS wishes to contribute an additional \$2,400 to Maness, who wishes to accept the additional contribution.

Questions Presented

1. May SOS make contributions to candidates of up to \$5,000 per election before it has made contributions to at least five candidates in accordance with 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(4)?

2. *May Maness accept contributions above \$2,600, but not exceeding \$5,000, per election from SOS before SOS has contributed to at least five candidates?*

Legal Analysis and Conclusions

No, SOS may not make contributions to candidates in excess of \$2,600 per election until it has qualified as a multicandidate political committee, nor may Maness knowingly accept such contributions from SOS until it has qualified as a multicandidate political committee.

The Act provides that "no person" shall contribute more than \$2,600 to any candidate with respect to any election. 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(1)(A); *see also* 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(b); Price Index Adjustments for Contribution and Expenditure Limits and Lobbyist Bundling Disclosure Threshold, 78 Fed. Reg. 8530 (Feb. 6, 2013) (adjusting limit for inflation pursuant to 2 U.S.C. § 441a(c)). The statutory definition of "person[s]" subject to this limit generally includes political committees, 2 U.S.C. § 431(11), but it does not include "multicandidate political committee[s]," which can contribute up to \$5,000 per election to a candidate. 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(2)(A); *see also* 11 C.F.R. § 110.2(b). To qualify as a multicandidate political committee, a political committee for at least six months; (2) receive contributions from more than 50 persons; and (3) make contributions to at least five federal candidates. 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(4); *see also* 11 C.F.R. § 100.5(e)(3) (defining "multicandidate committee"). No candidate may knowingly accept any contribution that is in violation of the Act's contribution limits. 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f); *see also* 11 C.F.R. § 110.9.

SOS has not yet qualified as a multicandidate political committee. Although it has been registered with the Commission as a political committee for more than six months and has received contributions from more than 50 persons, it has made contributions to only three federal

² See Press Release, FEC Statement on *Carey v. FEC*: Reporting Guidance for Political Committees that Maintain a Non-Contribution Account (Oct. 5, 2011), *available at* http://www.fec.gov/press20111006postcarey.shtml.

AO 2012-09 Page 3

candidates. As a result, SOS is not a multicandidate political committee under section 441a(a)(4) but rather a "person" subject to the contribution limits of section 441a(a)(1). Thus, SOS may not currently make contributions of more than \$2,600 to any candidate with respect to any election, and Maness may not knowingly accept contributions of more than \$2,600 per election from SOS until it qualifies as a multicandidate political committee.³

Despite the plain language of the Act, the requestors ask the Commission to determine that SOS may make, and Maness may accept, contributions in excess of the limit in section 441a(a)(1)(A) because they contend the congressionally prescribed definition of a multicandidate political committee is "unconstitutional both facially and as applied to SOS." In Buckley v. Valeo, however, the Supreme Court upheld the constitutionality of the Act's requirements for political committees to qualify for the higher \$5,000 limit on contributions to candidates. 424 U.S. 1, 35-36 (1976) ("[T]he registration, contribution, and candidate conditions serve the permissible purpose of preventing individuals from evading the applicable contribution limitations by labeling themselves committees."). Moreover, the Commission lacks the power to determine that a provision of the Act is unconstitutional. See Johnson v. Robison, 415 U.S. 361, 368 (1974) (adjudication of constitutionality is generally outside administrative agency's authority); Robertson v. FEC, 45 F.3d 486, 489 (D.C. Cir. 1995) (noting in context of Commission's administrative enforcement process that "[i]t was hardly open to the Commission, an administrative agency, to entertain a claim that the statute which created it was in some respect unconstitutional"). Thus, as the Commission noted in a recent advisory opinion on the same statutory provision at issue here: "Because no court has invalidated the limitation in section 441a(a)(1)(A) or the definition of 'multicandidate political committee' in section 441a(a)(4), we are required to give these provisions full force." Advisory Opinion 2012-32 (Tea Party Leadership Fund) at 3.

This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of the Act and Commission regulations to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your request. *See* 2 U.S.C. § 437f. The Commission emphasizes that, if there is a change in any of the facts or assumptions presented, and such facts or assumptions are material to a conclusion presented in this advisory opinion, then the requestors may not rely on that conclusion as support for their proposed activity. Any person involved in any specific transaction or activity which is indistinguishable in all its material aspects from the transaction or activity with respect to which this advisory opinion is rendered may rely on this advisory opinion. *See* 2 U.S.C. § 437f(c)(1)(B). Please note that the analysis or conclusions in this advisory opinion may be affected by subsequent developments in the law, including, but not limited to, statutes, regulations, advisory opinions, and case law. The cited advisory opinion is available on the

³ Although the request states that SOS has "purposefully" contributed to only three federal candidates, SOS would appear to qualify as a multicandidate political committee if it were to make contributions to at least two other candidates.

AO 2012-09 Page 4

Commission's website, <u>www.fec.gov</u>, or directly from the Commission's Advisory Opinion searchable database at www.fec.gov/searchao.

On behalf of the Commission,

(signed) Ellen L. Weintraub Chair