MCGAHN & ASSOCIATES PLLC

509 7™ STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004 (202) 654-7036 FAX (202) 654-7033 200, OCT - 3 PO 12: 00

October 3, 2006

Lawrence H. Norton, Esquire General Counsel Federal Election Commission 999 E Street, NW Washington, DC 20463

Re: Comments on Draft AO 2006-24

Dear Mr. Norton:

By and through the undersigned counsel, the Illinois Republican Party and the National Republican Congressional Committee hereby file these comments on the Commission's alternative draft Advisory Opinions 2006-24, in support of Draft B, and in opposition to Draft A.

Draft B accurately states the law applicable to election recounts, certainly prior to the enactment of BCRA. Draft B also explains in great detail why BCRA did not alter the legal landscape with respect to recounts. Ultimately, as noted in Draft B, "[t]he Commission's treatment of recount funds over the past 30 years, based on the rationale that recounts are not 'elections,' is well known by Congress." Yet, despite this three-decades of clear, consistent treatment (which included not only the advisory opinions eited in Draft B, but also numerous recounts conducted consistent with this treatment with the full knowledge of Congress), there is absolutely nothing in either the language of BCRA or its scant legislative history that demonstrates any sort of desire to alter the legal landscape. Thus, as noted in Draft B, the Commission's "recount regulations at 11 CFR 100.91 and 100.51 [are] valid and enforceable and unaffected by BCRA."

Accordingly, both the Illinois Republican Party and the National Republican Congressional Committee ask that the Commission adopt Draft B.

Respectfully,

Donald F. McGahn II

Counsel for the Illinois Republican Party and the National Republican Congressional Committee