



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463

2004 FEB 17 P 5: 30

AGENDAITEM For Meeting of: 02-18-04

MEMORANDUM

TO:

The Commission

FROM:

Vice Chair Ellen L. Weintraub

DATE:

02/17/2004

SUBJECT: AO 2003-37(ABC)

SUBMITTED LATE

Attached is a revised version of Agenda Document 04-11, which incorporates many of OGC's proposed amendments in Agenda Document 04-11-A. The additional proposed changes to Agenda Document 04-11 are largely designed to ensure that this advisory opinion addresses the particular facts before the Commission, and that broader topics are left for the upcoming rulemaking, as appropriate under 11 CFR part 112. Some suggested changes from other Commissioners have been incorporated as well.

1 2	ADVISORY OPINION 2003-37
3	Keith A. Davis
4 5	Treasurer Americans for a Better Country
6	228 S. Washington Street
7	Alexandria, VA 22314
8 9	
10	Dear Mr. Davis:
11	This responds to your letter dated November 18, 2003, requesting an advisory
12	opinion on behalf of Americans for a Better Country ("ABC"), concerning the application
13	of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act" or "FECA"), and
14	Commission regulations to a variety of political activities. ABC is an unincorporated, non-
15	connected political committee organized under Section 527 of the Internal Revenue Code
16	with Federal and non-Federal accounts that registered with the Commission on September
17	4, 2003. As always, this Advisory Opinion is premised on the specific facts and
18	circumstances posited in your request. See 11 CFR part 112. The fact that ABC is a
19	political committee is particularly relevant. This opinion does not set forth general
20	standards that might be applicable to other tax-exempt entities.
21	
22	•
23	Background
24	Your request concerns the raising and spending of Federal and non-Federal funds
25	for a wide range of campaign activities. Some of your proposed activities involve public
26	communications regarding specific Federal candidates, or Federal candidates holding
27	positions on issues of importance to ABC. The activities proposed in your request appear

• .

1 to include only one communication that involves a specific non-Federal candidate. Your

2 proposed activities also include voter mobilization programs. In addition, you seek

3 guidance on coordinating these campaign activities with #Federal candidates and their

agents, and having Federal candidates assist ABC by soliciting funds for ABC's proposed

5 activities.1

6

7

8

4

Legal Analysis and Conclusions

Introduction

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20.

21

22

The Commission concludes that the activities about which you inquire fall into a few general categories. Some are generic voter drives and therefore are governed by the allocation regulations in 11 CFR 106.6(b)(2)(iii). Some of ABC's communications promote, support, attack or oppose one or more clearly identified Federal candidates, and, as made by a political committee, these communications are expenditures that must be paid for entirely with Federal funds. Other communications by ABC must be treated as a combination of expenditures for Federal candidates and disbursements for non Federal candidates. These may be allocated between Federal and non Federal accounts under 11 CFR 106.1.

In McConnell v. FEC, 540 U.S. ____, 124 S.Ct. 619 (2003), the Supreme Court clarified that the so called "express advocacy" test is not a constitutional barrier limiting the interpretation of what is "for the purpose of influencing any Federal election," which is the operative term used in the definition of "expenditure" in 2 U.S.C. 431(9). McConnell, 124

¹ This advisory opinion reorganizes and combines certain questions, and answers certain other questions as a group. Attached to this advisory opinion as Appendix A is your letter dated November 18, 2003, annotated with bracketed paragraph numbers that will be referred to throughout this opinion.

1	S.Ct. at 688-689. The Court also found constitutional Congress' regulation of two types of
2	activities addressed in the Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act of 2002, Pub. L. 107-155 (Mar.
3	27, 2002) ("BCRA"): "Federal election activity," as defined in 2-U.S.C. 431(20), which
4	includes public communications that promote or support, or attack or oppose a clearly
5	identified Federal candidate, 2 U.S.C. 431(20)(A)(iii), and "electioneering communication,"
6	as defined in 2-U.S.C. 434(f)(3)(A)(i). McConnell, 124 S.Ct. at 670-673, and 686-689.
7	The Supreme Court found that public communications that promote, support, attack,
8	or oppose a clearly identified Federal candidate "undoubtedly have a dramatic effect on
9	Federal elections," McConnell at 675, and that the regulation of these communications
10	survives constitutional vagueness concerns because the terms "promote," "support,"
11	"attack" and "oppose" are explicit standards giving "the person of ordinary intelligence
12	reasonable opportunity to know what is prohibited." McConnell at 675, n. 64, citing
13	Grayned v. City of Rockford, 408 U.S. 104, 108-109 (1972). Under BCRA, these public
14	communications cannot be paid for with non Federal funds by Federal, state and local
15	officeholders or party-committees. 2 U.S.C. 441i(a), (b), (e) and (f).
16	The Commission concludes that the activities about which you inquire fall into a
17	few general categories. Many of the activities about which you inquire are covered by the
18	existing allocation regulations in 11 CFR Part 106. Some are generic voter drives governed
19	by 11 CFR 106.6(b)(2)(iii). Other communications by ABC must be treated as a
20	combination of expenditures for Federal candidates and disbursements for non-Federal
21	candidates allocable under 11 CFR 106.1.
22	You also ask about certain communications that refer to a clearly identified Federal
23	candidate, but that do not expressly advocate the election or defeat of that candidate. Prior

1	to the Supreme Court's decision in McConnell v. FEC, 540 U.S. , 124 S.Ct. 619, 687
2	(2003), many believed that "Buckley [v. Valeo, 424 U.S. 1 (1976)] drew a constitutionally
3	mandated line between express advocacy and so-called issue advocacy" such that for
4	present purposes only communications that contained express advocacy were considered
5	"expenditures" that had to be paid for with funds subject to the limitations and source
6	prohibitions of the Act. In McConnell, the Supreme Court clarified that the express
7	advocacy test is not a constitutional barrier establishing whether communications are "for
8	the purpose of influencing any Federal election," which is the operative term used in the
9	definition of "expenditure" in 2 U.S.C. 431(9). 124 S.Ct. at 688-689. In short, there is no
10	statutory requirement and, in light of McConnell, no Constitutional requirement, that
11	express advocacy be the basis for distinguishing which of a Federal political committee's
12	proposed communications may be paid for with Federal funds and which may be paid for
13	with non-Federal funds, i.e., funds that are not subject to the Act's limitations and source
14	prohibitions.
15	The Supreme Court also upheld a key provision of the Bipartisan Campaign Reform
16	Act of 2002, Pub. L. 107-155 (Mar. 27, 2002) ("BCRA") regulating public communications
17	by officeholders and party committees that promote, support, attack, or oppose a clearly
18	identified Federal candidate (2 U.S.C. 431(20)(A)(iii)), finding that such communications
19	"undoubtedly have a dramatic effect on Federal elections." McConnell, 124 S.Ct at 675.
20	Moreover, the Court found that the regulation of these communications survives
21	constitutional vagueness concerns because the terms "promote," "support," "attack" and
22	"oppose" are explicit standards giving "the person of ordinary intelligence reasonable
23	opportunity to know what is prohibited." McConnell at 675, n. 64, citing Grayned v. City

- 1 of Rockford, 408 U.S. 104, 108-109 (1972). Under BCRA, these public communications
- 2 cannot be paid for with non-Federal funds by Federal, state and local officeholders or party
- 3 committees. 2 U.S.C. 441i(a), (b), (e) and (f).
- 4 The Commission recognizes that political party committees have characteristics not
- 5 shared by all political committees regulated under FECA. Nevertheless, the promote,
- 6 support, attack, or oppose standard is equally appropriate as the benchmark for determining
- 7 whether communications made by political committees that refer only to clearly identified
- 8 Federal candidates are made for the purpose of influencing any Federal election and must
- 9 be paid for with Federal funds. By their very nature, all Federal political committees, not
- just political party committees, are focused on the influencing of Federal elections.² As
- organizations whose "major purpose is the nomination or election of a candidate," political
- 12 committees do not raise the same concerns about vagueness that may arise in other contexts
- 13 when interpreting the definition of "expenditure." Expenditures of political committees
- "can be assumed to fall within the core area sought to be addressed by Congress. Their
- 15 expenditures are, by definition, campaign related." Buckley v. Valeo, 424 U.S. 1, 79
- 16 (1976).³- Moreover, communications that promote, support, attack or oppose a clearly
- 17 identified Federal candidate have no less a "dramatic effect" on Federal elections when
- 18 aired by other types of political committees, rather than party committees or candidate
- 19 committees.

^{*}For example, McConnell stated "[t]he record shows that many of the targeted tax exempt organizations engage in sophisticated and effective electioneering activities for the purpose of influencing federal elections, including waging broadcast campaigns promoting or attacking particular candidates and conducting large-scale voter registration and GOTV drives." McConnell at 679, n. 68 (emphasis added).

³ See also McConnell, 124 S.Ct. at 675 n.64.

1 You have also asked a number of questions about the possible application of 2 BCRA's electioneering communications provisions to ABC's proposed activities (e.g., 3 paragraphs [6], [7], [8], [64A], and [64B], and Exhibit E). As explained below, it is not 4 necessary to apply the electioneering communications provisions of the Act and 5 Commission regulations to respond to your request. An "electioneering communication" is 6 a "broadcast, cable or satellite" communication that refers to a clearly identified candidate. 7 is publicly distributed for a fee within 60 days of a general election or 30 days of a primary 8 or preference election or nominating convention or caucus, and that is, in the case of a 9 communication that refers to a Congressional candidate, "targeted to the relevant electorate." 2 U.S.C. 434(f)(3)(A)(i); 11 CFR 100.29. 10 11 The Act and Commission regulations set forth four exceptions to the definition of "electioneering communication." 2 U.S.C. 434(f)(3)(B); 11 CFR 100.29(c). One of these 12 13 statutory exceptions covers communications that are expenditures or independent 14 expenditures under the Act. 2 U.S.C. 434(f)(3)(B)(ii). The Commission determined that 15 communications by political committees that would otherwise meet the definition of 16 electioneering communications are, in fact, expenditures when made by a political 17 committee and must be reported as such. "Electioneering Communications; Final Rules," 18 67 Fed. Reg. 65,190, 65,197 (Oct. 23, 2002); see also "Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act of 19 2002; Reporting; Notice of Proposed Rulemaking," 67 Fed. Reg. 64,555, 64,561 (October

⁴ A communication is "targeted to the relevant electorate" if it can be received by 50,000 or more persons in the State an identified candidate for the Senate seeks to represent or the congressional district an identified candidate for the House of Representatives seeks to represent. 2 U.S.C. 434(f)(3)(C); 100.29(a)(5). In the case of a candidate for nomination for President or Vice President, a communication is publicly distributed if it can be received by 50,000 or more persons in a State where a primary election is being held within 30 days, or if it can be received by 50,000 or more persons anywhere in the United States between 30 days before the first day of the nominating convention and its conclusion. 11 CFR 100.29(b)(3).

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 1 21, 2002).⁵ Accordingly, Federal political committees, by operation of the expenditure and
- 2 independent expenditure exemption in 2 U.S.C. 434(f)(3)(B)(ii) and 11 CFR 100.29(c)(3),
- 3 are not subject to BCRA's electioneering communication provisions. Therefore, any
- 4 communications paid for by ABC that possess the characteristics of otherwise would be
- 5 electioneering communications as defined by the Act, 2 U.S.C. 434(f)(3), and described in
- 6 the Commission's regulations, 11 CFR 100.29(a), must be reported as expenditures and
- 7 comply with the requirement that expenditures be Federally funded.

Finally, ABC proposes to fund certain activity that may be paid for with a mix of Federal and non-Federal funds. Commission regulations provide that, with respect to a political committee with separate Federal and non-Federal accounts (such as ABC), "[a]II disbursements, contributions, expenditures, and transfers... in connection with any Federal election shall be made from its Federal account" (except as otherwise permitted with respect to State, district, and local party committees under 11 CFR Part 300). 11 CFR 102.5(a)(1)(i). The proper allocation of such certain activities by a non-connected political committee such as ABC is set by 11 CFR Part 106. Where a communication (including a voter registration or get out the vote communication) constitutes, at least in part, an expenditure on behalf of a clearly identified Federal candidate, the provisions at 11 CFR 106.1 providing for allocation to a specific candidate or candidates will apply. Where specific candidates are not clearly identified and the communication is part of a generic

⁵ The Court in *McConnell* agreed with the Commission's conclusion. It stated, "issue ads broadcast during the 30- and 60-day periods preceding Federal primary and general elections are the functional equivalent of express advocacy." *McConnell* at 696. The Court also recognized that "corporations can still fund electioneering communications with PAC money," i.e., Federal or "hard" money. *Id.* at 695.

1	voice unive, the provisions of 11 CPK-100.0 will apply as to the anocation of a political
2	committee's disbursements between its Federal and non Federal accounts.
3	Under 11 CFR 106.1, expenditures, including in-kind contributions, independent
4	expenditures, and coordinated expenditures made on behalf of more than one clearly
5	identified Federal candidate, shall be allocated to each such candidate according to the
6	benefit reasonably expected to be derived; e.g. by the space and time devoted to each
7	candidate in a printed or broadcast message, or statements in a phone bank message, as
8	compared to the total space or time devoted to all the candidates. This also applies to
9	allocating payments involving both expenditures on behalf of one or more clearly identified
10	Federal candidates and disbursements on behalf of one or more clearly identified non-
11	Federal candidates. 11 CFR 106.1(a).
12	For communications by a non-connected political committee that are for voter
13	identification, voter registration, or get-out-the-vote purposes that are not coordinated with
14	a candidate and that do not refer to any clearly identified Federal candidate, Commission
15	regulations at 11 CFR 106.6 require the use of at least some Federal funds because they are
16	in part for the purpose of influencing a Federal election. Commission regulations provide
17	that such committees shall allocate expenses for:
18 19 20 21 22	Generic voter drives including voter identification, voter registration, and get-out-the-vote drives, or any other activities that urge the general public to register, vote or support candidates of a particular party or associated with a particular issue, without mentioning a specific candidate.

- 1 11 CFR 106.6(b)(2)(iii). The expenses for such purposes shall be allocated between the
- 2 Federal and non-Federal accounts of the non-connected committee based on the ratio of
- 3 Federal expenditures to total Federal and non-Federal disbursements made by the
- 4 committee during the two-year Federal election cycle.⁷

6

- Given that ABC's "express purpose" [2] [20] and "message" [26] is "the election and defeat" [26] [20] of particular Federal candidates,
 - (a) May ABC, or its agents, solicit or direct non-Federal funds [47]?
 - (b) May ABC use non-Federal funds to pay for any of its activities [26] [27]8?

10 11

9

- The paragraphs of your request addressed in this question largely present general
- 13 questions of interpretation, and thus do not qualify as an advisory opinion request. 11 CFR
- 14 112.1(b). As explained above, political committees may maintain Federal and non-Federal
- 15 accounts, 11 CFR 102.5, and may allocate certain payments between Federal funds and
- non-Federal funds, see, e.g., 11 CFR 106.6(b)(2)(iii) (allocation of expenses for generic
- 17 voter drives by non-connected political committees). Thus, ABC may raise non-Federal

⁶ The same allocation method applies to non-connected committees' "[aldministrative expenses including rent, utilities, office supplies, and salaries, except for such expenses directly attributable to a clearly identified candidate[.]" See 11 CF 106.6(b)(2)(i) and (c).

The article by the article at the content of the

The ratio shall be estimated and reported at the beginning of each cycle, and subsequent adjustments, accompanied by transfers, are made with respect to each reporting period. 11 CFR 106.6(c)(1) and (2). For the purposes of the ratio, the Federal expenditures shall include only amounts contributed to or otherwise spent on behalf of specific Federal candidates, including independent expenditures, and amounts spent on communications that promote, support, attack, or oppose a clearly identified Federal candidate. The calculation of disbursements for the total Federal and non-Federal disbursements shall also be limited to disbursements for specific candidates, and shall not include overhead or other generic costs. 11 CFR 106.6(c)(1).

The Commission does not address the questions in paragraph [27] pertaining to the activities of donors of non-Federal funds. These are activities of third parties and not that of the requestor. See 11 CFR 112.1(b) (which states that requests regarding the activities of third parties do not qualify as advisory opinion requests). Indeed, your request could implicate many third parties, who may find themselves in a wide variety of circumstances.

- 1 funds, and spend such funds as permitted by the Act. More specific guidance is provided
- 2 below in the context of more specific questions.

- 4 Coordination with Federal Candidates and Political Party Committees
- 5 [9],[11] to [17], [18], [28] to [36], and [62]
- 6 Several paragraphs of your advisory opinion request directly raise issues as to
- 7 "coordination" between ABC and candidates for Federal office and/or political party
- 8 committees. See, e.g., paragraphs [11]-[17], [18] (second question), [28]-[36], and [62] of
- 9 your request. Under 2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(7) and 11 CFR 109.20(a), "coordinated" means,
- "made in cooperation, consultation, or concert with, or at the request or suggestion of, a
- candidate, a candidate's authorized committee, or their agents" Any expenditure that
- 12 is coordinated under 11 CFR 100.20(a), but that is not made for a coordinated
- 13 communication under 11 CFR 100.21 or a coordinated party expenditure under 11 CFR
- 14 100.37, is an in-kind contribution to the candidate or political party committee with whom
- 15 it was coordinated, and "must be reported as an expenditure made by that candidate or
- political party committee," unless otherwise exempted. 11 CFR 100.20(a).
- 17 The regulations in 11 CFR 109.21 set forth a three-pronged test for the purpose of
- 18 determining whether a communication is coordinated with one or more candidates for
- 19 Federal election, an authorized committee, a political party committee, or an agent of any of
- 20 the foregoing. If the three-pronged test is satisfied, then the payments for the

⁹ An expenditure is considered to be a contribution to a candidate when it is "made by any person in cooperation, consultation, or concert, with, or at the request or suggestion of," that candidate, the authorized committee of that candidate, or their agents. 2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(7)(B)(i). Also, an expenditure is not "independent" if it is "made in cooperation, consultation, or concert, with, or at the request or suggestion of," a candidate, authorized committee, or a political party committee. See 11 CFR 100.16.

1 communication are made for the purpose of influencing a Federal election, and therefore 2 constitute in-kind contributions. First, the communication must be paid for by someone 3 other than that candidate, authorized committee, political party committee, or an agent of 4 any of the foregoing. 11 CFR 109.21(a)(1); see also 11 CFR 109.37. The second prong is 5 a "content standard" regarding the subject matter of the communication. 11 CFR 6 109.21(a)(2). Four types of communications satisfy the content standard: (1) a public 7 communication that expressly advocates the election or defeat of a clearly identified 8 Federal candidate (no matter when made); (2) a public communication that disseminates. 9 distributes or republishes campaign materials (no matter when made); (3) electioneering communications; and (4) a public communication directed to voters in a particular area that 10 11 refers to a political party or a clearly identified Federal candidate and that is disseminated 12 120 days or fewer before a primary, general, special or runoff election. See 11 CFR 13 109.21(c). The third prong is a "conduct standard" regarding the interactions between the 14 person paying for the communication and the candidate, an authorized committee, a 15 political party committee, or agents of the foregoing. 11 CFR 109.21(a)(3). These conduct standards include: (1) "requests or suggestions" for communications by candidates or 16 political party committees; (2) substantial discussions regarding candidate or political party 17 campaign plans, projects, activities, or needs; (3) "material involvement" in the making and 18 airing of communications; (4) the involvement of "common vendors;" and (5) the 19 involvement of individuals who were formerly employees or independent contractors of a 20 candidate, an authorized committee, or a political party committee. 11 CFR 109.21(d)(1) -21 (5):¹⁰ 22

¹⁰ In order to meet this fifth conduct standard, the former employee or independent contractor must use or

1	The Commission cannot resolve, without more specific information, whether the
2	communications you generally describe are coordinated communications. As such, your
3	questions about coordination are hypothetical, presenting general questions of interpretation
4	of the Act, rather than specific transactions or activities, and are thus not proper for an
5	advisory opinion. 2 U.S.C. 437f(a)(1); 11 CFR 112.1(b).11
6	In addition to the paragraphs of your request that directly raise issues about
7	coordination, discussed above, some of your other paragraphs raise the possibility that a
8	number of ABC's planned activities described below might be coordinated with one or
9	more candidates for Federal office, authorized committees of Federal candidates, political
10	party committees, or the agents of any of the foregoing. See, e.g., paragraphs [6]-[8], [24]-
11	[27], ¹² [38]-[39], [48]-[49], [52]-[54], [57]-[60], [64], and [67]-[70] of your request. To the
12	extent that the activities you describe in those questions would result in a coordinated
13	communication within the meaning the meaning of 11 CFR 109.21, the payment for such
14	communications would constitute an in-kind contribution to a candidate for Federal office

convey information. 11 CFR 109.21(d)(5). Likewise, to meet the fourth conduct standard - which turns on the involvement of common vendors - the common vendor must use or convey information. 11 CFR 109.21(d)(4)(iii). See also 11 CFR 109.21(d)(3) (A discussion is 'substantial' within the meaning of the 'substantial discussion' conduct prong only 'if information about the candidate's or political party committee's campaign plans, projects, activities, or needs is conveyed to a person paying for the communication ...'). The Commission considered and rejected a standard in which previous employment would, by itself, be sufficient to satisfy this conduct standard. See 68 Fed. Reg. at 438 ("The Commission notes that the final rule focuses only on the use or conveyance of information that is material to a subsequent communication and does not in any way prohibit or discourage the subsequent employment of those who have previously worked for a candidate's campaign or a political party committee.").

11 In paragraph [9] you ask whether an individual contributing non-Federal funds to ABC "for the express

purposes of 'reelecting the President' or 'defeating' his Democratic opponent" may also hold a fundraiser for the President. This question relates entirely to the activities of a third-party contributor and you do not supply any indication that you are an agent of that potential contributor or are otherwise authorized to request an advisory opinion on that person's behalf. Under the Act and Commission regulations, a request on behalf of a requesting person must be made by an "authorized agent of such person." 2 U.S.C. 437f(a)(1) and 11 CFR 112.1(a). As your question concerns the activities of a third party, it is not a proper advisory opinion request. 12 While you state in paragraphs 24 and 25 that the contemplated activities will not be coordinated with a Federal candidate, you do not address whether the activities will be coordinated with a political party committee or its agents.

- or to a political party committee. Such contributions must be paid for entirely with Federal
- funds and are subject to ABC's contribution limits under 2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(1) or (2). Thus,
- 3 the Commission conditions its responses to the questions discussed below on the
- 4 assumption that these activities are <u>not</u> coordinated with a Federal candidate, authorized
- 5 committee, political party committee, or agents of any of the foregoing. 2 U.S.C. 441a(a);
- 6 11 CFR 100.52(d)(1), 11 CFR 109.20 and 109.21.13

Coordination with non-profit organizations

8 9 10

2. You ask whether ABC may coordinate its activities with entities that are constituted as either IRC §527 political organizations or section 501(c)(3) organizations, and that are not Federal political committees. [35] and [36]

12 13 14

11

While the Act and Commission regulations at 2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(7)(B) and 11 CFR

- Part 109 provide specific consequences for coordination with a candidate for Federal office,
- 16 a candidate's authorized committee, or a political party committee, neither the Act nor
- 17 Commission regulations expressly address coordination with aother political committees
- and 527 political organizations or section 501(c)(3) organizations. Thus, ABC is not
- 19 categorically prohibited from consulting with, or acting in concert with these other
- 20 organizations. However, depending on the particular circumstances, such cooperation
- 21 could be a factor leading to a conclusion that ABC controls or is otherwise affiliated with

¹³ The Act, as amended by BCRA, and Commission regulations prohibit national committees of political parties, their officers and agents, and any entities established, financed, maintained or controlled by such committees from soliciting, receiving, spending, or directing to another person, non-Federal funds (i.e., funds that are not subject to the limitations, prohibitions, or reporting requirements of the Act) for any purpose whatsoever. This prohibition is absolute and does not contain any statutory exceptions. 2 U.S.C. 441i(a); 11 CFR 300.10. For the purposes of this opinion, the Commission accepts your representation that ABC is an independent political committee that is not affiliated with any Federal candidate, Federal officeholder or political party.

such a group, that the group is acting as ABC's agent, or that the group has made an in-kind contribution to ABC.

The Commission expresses no opinion regarding qualification for tax treatment under 26 U.S.C. 527 or any other ramifications of the proposed activities under the Internal

Revenue Code because those questions are outside the Commission's jurisdiction.

6 Agency

Your advisory opinion request presents numerous facts and questions that raise issues as to whether an individual is an agent¹⁴ of one or more organizations, including ABC. *See, e.g.*, paragraphs [12], [15], and [29]. The Commission cannot determine whether particular individuals are agents of ABC or other persons without more specific information. As the Commission previously noted in the Explanation and Justification for 11 CFR Part 109, it is difficult to determine whether an individual is acting as an "agent" in the abstract because "[t]he grant and scope of the actual authority, whether the person is acting within the scope of his or her actual authority, and whether he or she is acting on behalf of the principal or a different person, are factual determinations that are necessarily evaluated on a case-by-case basis in accordance with traditional agency principles." 68
Fed. Reg. 421, 425. Therefore, to the extent your questions require a determination of whether a particular person is an agent of ABC or another organization, the questions are

¹⁴ Although Congress did not define the term "agent" in BCRA, the Commission has promulgated regulations at 11 CFR 300.2(b) that define an "agent" of a Federal candidate or officeholder for purposes of 11 CFR Part 300 (i.e., the "soft-money" rules enacted to implement BCRA) as "any person who has actual authority, either express or implied," "to solicit, receive, direct, transfer or spend funds in connection with any election." The Commission also promulgated a similar definition of "agent" solely for the purposes of 11 CFR Part 109, which primarily addresses coordination between a person paying for a communication and a Federal candidate, authorized committee, or political party committee. 11 CFR 109.3. The Commission explained that this definition of "agent" is "based on the same concept that the Commission used in framing the definition of 'agent" in part 300, described above. 68 Fed. Reg. 421, 423 (Jan. 3, 2003).

1	hypothetical and present general questions of interpretation of the Act, rather than specific
2	transactions or activities, and are thus not proper for an advisory opinion. 2 U.S.C.
3	437f(a)(1); 11 CFR 112.1(b). Furthermore, in addressing the questions you present in this
4	request, the Commission assumes without deciding that ABC directors and staff are not
5	agents of a candidate for Federal office, an authorized committee of such candidate, a
6	political party committee, or any other individual or entity.
7 8	Communications
9 10 11 12 13 14	3. You indicate that ABC may fund a communication that states: "President George W. Bush, Senator X and Representative Y have led the fight in Congress for a stronger defense and stronger economy. Call them and tell them to keep fighting for you." May ABC pay for this communication containing no express advocacy solely with donations from individuals that exceed the Act's limitations? [6], [7]
15	No. If the communication meets the criteria of an electioneering communication, it
16	must be treated as an expenditure when made by a political committee. See introduction to
17	the legal analysis.
18	Even if it does not have all the characteristics of an electioneering communication, it
19	still must be treated as an expenditure and paid for entirely from ABC's Federal account for
20	the following reasons. The communication you intend to produce would promote or support
21	candidates for Federal office by proclaiming that those candidates have "led the fight in
22	Congress for a stronger defense and stronger economy." As explained above in the
23	introduction to the legal analysis, a payment by a political committee for a communication
24	that promotes, supports, attacks, or opposes a clearly identified Federal candidate is "for the
25	purpose of influencing a Federal election," when made by a political committee and is
26	therefore an "expenditure" within the meaning of 2 U.S.C. 431(9) that must be paid for

entirely with Federal funds. Moreover, there is no basis under 11 CFR 106.1 for allocating

1	the costs of this communication between ABC's Federal and non-Federal accounts, because
2	the communication refers only to Federal candidates. Nor is allocation between ABC's
3	Federal and non-Federal accounts permissible under 11 CFR 106.6. Those allocation
4	provisions explicitly do not cover candidate-specific communications. See 11 CFR
5	106.6(b)(2)(i) and (iii). Consequently, because the payments for the communications you
6	propose to run will be expenditures regulated under the Act, ABC must pay for these ads
7	entirely with funds that comply with the Act's various limitations, including individual
8	contribution limitations.
9	•
10 11 12 13 14	4. May ABC pay for communications within 60 days of a general election with funds from corporations, labor organizations, and trade associations, if the message is "President Bush is a strong leader. The War on Terror, cutting taxes, putting families and working people first. He has provided strong, common sense leadership for this nation. Call President Bush and tell him to keep fighting for a strong America."? [64A]
16	No. Similar to the answer to question 3 above, if the communications meet the
17	criteria of electioneering communications, they must be treated as expenditures when made
18	by a political committee. See introduction to the legal analysis.
19	Even if the communications do not have all the characteristics of an electioneering
20	communication, they still must be treated as expenditures. The messages given as
21	examples of these communications (see Exh. E to the request, referred to in paragraph
22 [.]	[64]), which you describe as "issue ads," promote, support, attack, or oppose a clearly
23	identified Federal candidate and do not refer to any non-Federal candidates.
24	introduction to the legal analysis and the answer to question 3, above. and Therefore, these
25	communications must be paid for by ABC entirely with Federal funds. Because Federal
26	funds cannot include corporate, labor organization, or incorporated trade association funds,

1	ABC cannot pay for communications that promote, support, attack, or oppose a Federal
2	candidate, with corporate, labor organization, or incorporated trade association funds.
3	
4 5 6	5. May ABC pay for "issue ads" within 60 days of a general election with corporate, labor organization, or trade association funds? [8]
7	In paragraph [8], you ask generally "[s]ince ABC is an unincorporated entity, may it
8	broadcast issue ads within 60 days of a general election paid for with funds that include
9	contributions from corporations, unions and trade associations?" Because you do not
10	provide a script for the "issue ads" referred to in paragraph [8], this is a hypothetical
11	question calling for general interpretation of the Act. Thus, it is not a proper advisory
12	opinion request. 2 U.S.C. 437f(a)(1); 11 CFR 112.1(b).
13	
14 15 16 17	6. ABC wishes to run communications, such as that which is attached to your request at Exhibit E, on television and radio within sixty days of a general election mentioning President Bush but not expressly advocating his election. May ABC fund these ads with donations from individuals in amounts that exceed Federal limits? [64B]
15 16	Exhibit E, on television and radio within sixty days of a general election mentioning President Bush but not expressly advocating his election. May ABC fund these ads with
15 16 17 18	Exhibit E, on television and radio within sixty days of a general election mentioning President Bush but not expressly advocating his election. May ABC fund these ads with donations from individuals in amounts that exceed Federal limits? [64B]
15 16 17 18 19	Exhibit E, on television and radio within sixty days of a general election mentioning President Bush but not expressly advocating his election. May ABC fund these ads with donations from individuals in amounts that exceed Federal limits? [64B] No. As explained above in the introduction to the legal analysis, communications
15 16 17 18 19	Exhibit E, on television and radio within sixty days of a general election mentioning President Bush but not expressly advocating his election. May ABC fund these ads with donations from individuals in amounts that exceed Federal limits? [64B] No. As explained above in the introduction to the legal analysis, communications that might otherwise meet the definition of "electioneering communication" are treated as
15 16 17 18 19 20	Exhibit E, on television and radio within sixty days of a general election mentioning President Bush but not expressly advocating his election. May ABC fund these ads with donations from individuals in amounts that exceed Federal limits? [64B] No. As explained above in the introduction to the legal analysis, communications that might otherwise meet the definition of "electioneering communication" are treated as expenditures when made by a political committee.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Exhibit E, on television and radio within sixty days of a general election mentioning President Bush but not expressly advocating his election. May ABC fund these ads with donations from individuals in amounts that exceed Federal limits? [64B] No. As explained above in the introduction to the legal analysis, communications that might otherwise meet the definition of "electioneering communication" are treated as expenditures when made by a political committee. Even if the communications do not otherwise meet the definition of "electioneering
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Exhibit E, on television and radio within sixty days of a general election mentioning President Bush but not expressly advocating his election. May ABC fund these ads with donations from individuals in amounts that exceed Federal limits? [64B] No. As explained above in the introduction to the legal analysis, communications that might otherwise meet the definition of "electioneering communication" are treated as expenditures when made by a political committee. Even if the communications do not otherwise meet the definition of "electioneering communication," they must nonetheless be treated as expenditures for the following
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Exhibit E, on television and radio within sixty days of a general election mentioning President Bush but not expressly advocating his election. May ABC fund these ads with donations from individuals in amounts that exceed Federal limits? [64B] No. As explained above in the introduction to the legal analysis, communications that might otherwise meet the definition of "electioneering communication" are treated as expenditures when made by a political committee. Even if the communications do not otherwise meet the definition of "electioneering communication," they must nonetheless be treated as expenditures for the following reasons. The communication you intend to produce would promote or support a candidate

1	promotes, supports, attacks, or opposes a clearly identified Federal candidate is "for the
2	purpose of influencing a Federal election," when made by a political committee, and is
3	therefore an "expenditure" within the meaning of 2 U.S.C. 431(9) that must be paid for
4	entirely with Federal funds. Moreover, because the communications refer only to clearly
5	identified Federal candidates, allocation between ABC's Federal and non-Federal accounts
6	is not permissible under 11 CFR part 106. See the answer to question 3, above.
7	Consequently, because the communications you propose to run will be expenditures, ABC
8	must pay for these ads entirely with funds that comply with the Act's various limitations,
9	including individual contribution limitations of 2 U.S.C. 441a(a). Whether the ad is run
10	less than or more than 60 days before a general election is not relevant.
11 12 13 14 15 16	7. ABC wishes to run television and radio ads, such as those which are attached to your request at Exhibit E, more than sixty days before the general election that state that President Bush is a "strong leader" who "has provided strong, common-sense leadership for this nation." May ABC fund these ads with either Federal or non-Federal funds? [65]
18	ABC must pay for these ads with Federal funds. Allocation between ABC's Federal
19	and non-Federal accounts is not permissible under 11 CFR part 106. See the answer to
20	question 3, above. As discussed above, a payment by a political committee for a
21	communication that promotes, supports, attacks, or opposes a clearly identified Federal
22	eandidate is "for the purpose of influencing a Federal election," and therefore an
23	"expenditure" within the meaning of section 431(9), that must be paid for entirely with
24	Federal funds. The communications you intend to produce would promote or support a
25	candidate for Federal office. The communications about which you inquire in paragraph
26	[65] praise President Bush as a "strong leader" who "has provided strong, common sense

1 leadership for this nation," and are therefore expenditures regulated by the Act. ABC must

2 pay for these ads entirely with Federal funds.

Voter Registration, GOTV, and Voter Identification Activities

You ask a number of questions about funding for proposed communications tied to what you describe as voter registration and get-out-the vote ("GOTV") activities. These questions are found at paragraphs [5], [6], [18 first question], [19], [26], [48], [52] through [61], [69], and [70]. They present a variety of messages in a variety of media, including direct mail, phone banks, and door-to-door distribution of material. You also ask about a specific voter identification communication [67]. With respect to some paragraphs, you also ask about the implications of BCRA on specific types of Federal election activity, as defined at 2 U.S.C. 431(20)(A)(i) and (ii) and 11 CFR 100.24(b)(1) and (2). However, as noted above, the Commission accepts the representation that ABC is not established, financed, maintained or controlled by a national, State, district or local party committee. Therefore, the provisions of 2 U.S.C. 441i that turn on those types of Federal election activity as applied to by party committees do not apply to ABC as if it were a party committee.

As explained above, ABC will fund activity that will be used The Commission concludes that ABC's voter drive activity is, entirely or in part, for the purpose of influencing a Federal election. See 2 U.S.C. 431(9)(A)(i). Commission regulations address: (1) communications by political committees that involve expenditures on behalf of

¹⁵ These include your references in paragraph [51] to voter registration activity that occurs more than 120 days before a Federal election and within the 120-day period and the reference in paragraph [58] to GOTV activity that occurs within 72 hours of a Federal election and before that time period.

- 1 clearly identified Federal candidates and/or disbursements on behalf of clearly identified
- 2 non-Federal candidates, at 11 CFR 106.1; and (2) communications by political committees
- 3 that are for voter identification, voter registration or GOTV purposes that are not
- 4 coordinated with a candidate and that do not mention a clearly identified candidate, at 11
- 5 CFR 106.6(b)(2)(iii). Funding for such messages will depend in large measure on the
- 6 application of the provisions in 11 CFR Part 106.

8

9

10

11

8. May ABC use non-Federal funds to pay for voter registration and get-out-the-vote public communications that clearly identify a Federal candidate and that expressly advocate his election or defeat or otherwise promote, support, attack, or oppose the candidate? This question refers to communications in paragraphs [5], [6], [26], [52], [57], and [69]. This question also pertains to questions [54] and [60].

12 13 14

- No. Some of the messages contain specific phrases such as "vote for George W.
- Bush for President," "or "It's your duty to register to vote so that you can support George
- 16 Bush's reelection as President of the United States." These communications constitute
- 17 express advocacy under 11 CFR 100.22(a). 16 Other messages refer directly to an explicit
- 18 act of support for a clearly identified candidate, such as "If you care about keeping the
- 19 strong defense President Bush has put in place, go out and vote November 2." These
- 20 messages promote, support, attack, or oppose a clearly identified Federal candidate. Other
- 21 messages promote, support, attack, or oppose a clearly identified Federal candidate in a

¹⁶ Specifically, 11 CFR 100.22(a) provides that "expressly advocating" means any communication that "[u]ses phrases such as 'vote for the President,' 're-elect your Congressman,' 'support the Democratic nominee,' 'cast your ballot for the Republican challenger for U.S. Senate in Georgia,' 'Smith for Congress,' 'Bill McKay in '94,' 'vote Pro-Life' or 'vote pro-Choice' accompanied by a listing of clearly identified candidates described as Pro-Life or Pro-Choice, 'vote against Old Hickory,' 'defeat' accompanied by a picture of one or more candidate(s), 'reject the incumbent,' or communications of campaign slogan(s) or individual word(s), which in context can have no other meaning than to urge the election or defeat of one or more clearly identified candidate(s), such as posters, bumper stickers, advertisements, etc. which say 'Nixon's the One,' 'Carter '76,' 'Reagan/Bush' or 'Mondale!' . . . "

- 1 different way. These include messages such as "President Bush has led the fight in
- 2 Congress for a stronger defense and economy. Call him and tell him to keep fighting for
- 3 you."
- 4 The communications in paragraphs [26] (first message), [52], [54] (first message),
- 5 [57], and [60] (first message) present messages that clearly identify only one Federal
- 6 candidate and expressly advocate his election. 11 CFR 100.22(a). Payment for such a
- 7 message would be an expenditure allocable entirely to that candidate and must be paid for
- 8 entirely with Federal funds. -11 CFR 106.1(a). -Although the message in paragraph [57]
- 9 also refers generically to "the entire Republican team," 11 CFR 106.1 requires the
- 10 apportionment of the entire cost to the only candidate mentioned. 17 This is a
- 11 communication that is for the purpose of influencing the election of a clearly identified
- 12 Federal candidate, President Bush, without identifying any other Federal or non Federal
- 13 candidate.
- The communications in paragraphs [26], [54-Exhibit A], and [57-Exhibit C] present
- 15 messages that clearly identify only one Federal candidate and expressly advocate his
- 16 election while at the same time urging generic support for the entire party ticket. As such,
- part of the message is attributable to the clearly identified candidate according to the benefit

¹⁷ Recently, the Commission promulgated new regulations carving out an exception for State, district, and local party committee phone banks where the phone script would ask people to show support for a clearly identified Federal candidate and generically refers to other candidates of the Federal candidate's party without identifying them by name. See 11 CFR 106.8; 68 Fed. Reg. 64517 (November 14, 2003). Rather than require the party committee to allocate 100 percent of the cost as an expenditure on behalf of the Federal candidate (or a coordinated expenditure or contribution in the event of coordination), the Commission regulations have to require the allocation of only 50 percent to the Federal candidate, although it still and further required the use of only Federal funds for the entire expense, based on the likelihood that the phone bank message would be a public communication. The Commission explicitly limited this rulemaking to phone banks and only addressed party committees, which are subject to the rule at 11 CFR 300.33(c)(1) that its public communications that promote, support, attack, or oppose a clearly identified Federal candidate must be paid for entirely with Federal funds. See 68 Fed. Reg. at 64518-19.

Ţ	reasonably expected to be derived, (See 11 CFR 106.1(a)), with the remaining generic part
2	of the message allocable under 11 CFR 106.6. The communications in paragraphs [54-
3	Exhibit B] and [60-Exhibit D] are generic voter drives that do not mention a specific
4	candidate. As such they must be paid with entirely Federal funds or allocated under 11
5	<u>CFR 106.6.</u>
6	The communication in paragraph [5] expressly advocates the election of three
7	clearly identified candidates, two Federal and one non-Federal. Based on the content of the
8	message, 11 CFR 106.1(a) would require allocation among the three candidates, and a
9	reasonable allocation would require that two-thirds of the cost be paid with funds from the
10	Federal account.
11	The second message in paragraph [26] does not expressly advocate the election of
12	President Bush, but it says that "[t]he economy under President Bush has never been better
13	and continues with a statement that it is the recipient's duty to register to vote. Thus, the
14	message promotes or supports his election and, since President Bush is the only candidate
15	clearly identified, the message must be paid for entirely with Federal funds under 11 CFR
16	106.1. Similarly, the message in paragraph [69] promotes President Bush by name, and
17	thus requires the use of only Federal funds.
18	The message described in paragraph [6] promotes or supports the three individuals
19	mentioned, all of whom are Federal officeholders. President Bush is a Federal candidate,
20	and the Commission assumes that neither Senator X nor Representative Y is a non Federal

l candidate, and that one or both may be Federal candidates. Only Federal funds may be

2 used. 18

9. May ABC use non-Federal funds to pay for voter registration and GOTV public communications that do not mention a clearly identified Federal candidate, and that are targeted to geographic areas or demographic voter groups that have been identified as Republican based on earlier voter identification efforts? This question refers to communications in paragraphs [53], [59], and [70], and pertains also to paragraphs [54] and [60].

As a non-connected political committee, ABC must allocate payments for these activities between its Federal and non-Federal accounts because they fall within 11 CFR 106.6, or it may pay for these communications with entirely Federal funds.

Paragraphs [53] and [54] (second message) involve voter registration messages by ABC, a Federal political committee, that do not mention specific candidates but "urge the general public to ... support candidates ... associated with [particular positions on issues]." 11 CFR 106.6(b)(2)(iii). Paragraph [53] includes the statement, "From the war on terror, to cutting taxes, to improving education – we all have a duty to elect leaders who put America first and not the liberal special interest groups." The messages in paragraphs [53] and [54] (second message) would be allocable under 11 CFR 106.6, and may be paid for with non-Federal funds only to the extent permitted under 11 CFR 106.6(c). The same analysis applies to the directed GOTV messages referred to in paragraphs [59] and [60]

¹⁸ In paragraph [5], you ask whether the "prohibition" in 11 CFR 100.26 on "general public political advertising" pertains to materials handed out door to door (and not through mass mailings or public communications) by an "unincorporated non-Federal section 527 committee if those materials expressly advocate the election or defeat of a Federal candidate. The Commission notes that 11 CFR 100.26 contains no prohibition but merely defines the term "public communications." A communication, such as the one in paragraph 5 that expressly advocates the election or defeat of a Federal candidate, or the one in paragraph 6, that promotes Federal candidates, is an expenditure (in part, as to paragraph 5) regardless of whether it qualifies as a public communication. The characterization as a "public communication" would only be relevant if ABC were a party committee.

1 (second message). Paragraph 69 includes the sentence, "From the war on terror, to cutting

2 taxes, to improving education - we all have a duty to elect leaders who will put America

3 first and not the liberal special interest groups." 19

ABC's Federal and non-Federal accounts.

Paragraph [70] merely states that Joe Smith is calling on behalf of ABC and asks the recipient to vote on November 2, without words encouraging support for candidates of any party or associated with any position on any issue. This communication does not mention any clearly identified candidate. Thus, it is a generic GOTV communication that must be either paid for with entirely Federal funds, or allocated under 11 CFR 106.6 between

10. May ABC use non-Federal funds to pay for voter registration and GOTV public communications that do not mention a clearly identified Federal candidate and that are not targeted to geographic areas or demographic groups that have been identified as Republican based on earlier voter identification efforts? [58]

In paragraph [58], you do not refer to any partisan targeting of the audience that will receive this message. Nevertheless, this is a voter registration message distributed by a non-connected political committee that urges support for candidates associated with positions on particular issues. See 11 CFR 106.6(b)(2)(iii) and Exhibit D to the request.

The communication does not reference a clearly identified candidate, does not contain express advocacy, and does not promote, support, attack, or oppose a candidate for Federal office. Thus, the communication falls within the definition of "generic voter drive" at 11 CFR 106.6(b)(2)(iii) and must be paid for, at least in part, with Federal funds under 11 CFR

¹⁹ Paragraph [59] refers to the message at issue in paragraph [58]. Paragraph [58] does not by itself refer to the targeting of communications presented in paragraph [59] and will be discussed below.

1	106.6(c). As explained above, the portion of this generic voter drive that may be paid for
2	with non-Federal funds is set forth in 11 CFR 106.6(c).
3	
4 5 6	11. How would prior contributions by ABC to candidates affect ABC's subsequent voter registration and GOTV activities with respect to those candidates? [18], [19].
7	Essentially, you ask whether a prior contribution by ABC to a Federal candidate
8	would lead to a conclusion that subsequent GOTV activities with respect to the candidate
9	would be viewed as coordinated expenditures and constitute in-kind contributions to the
10	candidate subject to the amount limitations and source prohibitions of the Act. The
11	Commission concludes that a prior contribution by ABC to the candidate, in and of itself,
12	does not establish that ABC's GOTV activities are coordinated with the candidate.
13	
14 15 16	12. How would ABC's funding of voter registration or GOTV messages be affected by the fundraising for such expenses, including the content of the messages used to raise the funds? This pertains to paragraphs [55] and [61].
17 18	Paragraphs [55] and [61] ask if certain types of funds may be used for voter
19	registration or GOTV messages if the solicitation for the funds mentions a specific Federal
20	candidate but the eventual voter registration or GOTV communication does not. An
21	example of such a solicitation is "Give money to an effort [or 'to a GOTV effort'] that will
22	help President Bush and Republican candidates;" an example of the subsequent GOTV
23	communication is, "Go out and vote. The election is important. It's your civic duty." You
24	do not provide an example for a voter registration communication subsequent to the
25	solicitation but, based on your question in paragraph [55], the Commission assumes that the
26	language is similar to the GOTV communication.

2

15

16

17

18

19.

These two questions implicate two different activities of ABC, its fundraising activities and its generic spending.

3 2 U.S.C. 431(8) provides that a contribution includes "any gift, subscription, loan 4 advance, or deposit of money or anything of value for the purpose of influencing any 5 election for Federal office." The fundraising messages in paragraphs [55] and [61] indicate 6 that the funds will be used to promote or support a clearly identified Federal candidate and 7 do not identify any other Federal or non-Federal candidates or elections. Based on this 8 solicitation these facts, these funds are being raised to influence a Federal election. 9 Therefore, the contributions raised will be subject to the contribution limits and source 10 prohibitions of the Act, and ABC may not raise non-Federal funds using those fundraising messages.²⁰ To avoid the receipt of contributions in violation of the Act, ABC should make 11 12 clear in its solicitations that it may accept only contributions within the limitations and 13 prohibitions of the Act or provide other information consistent with that. See 11 CFR 14 102.5(a)(2)(ii).

As indicated above, the subsequent voter registration or GOTV messages will not refer to a Federal candidate, a political party, or generically to candidates supporting positions on specific issues. They do not have to be funded entirely with Federal funds. However, these subsequent messages must be funded as generic voter drive expenses that are allocable in accordance with 11 CFR 106.6(c).²¹

These communications are not a mixed Federal/non-Federal fundraising activity and therefore are not subject to 11 CFR 106.6(d).

The Commission notes that the definition of "generic voter drives" in 11 CFR 106.6(b)(2)(iii) includes

The Commission notes that the definition of "generic voter drives" in 11 CFR 106.6(b)(2)(iii) includes "voter identification, voter registration, and get-out-the-vote-drives, or any other activities that urge the general public to register, vote or support candidates of a particular party or associated with a particular issue, without mentioning a specific candidate." The plain reading of this language indicates that the phrase

 13. Do donors violate the Act by donating non-federal funds to the massive voter mobilization effort directed at the general public with the stated purpose (i.e., express advocacy) of defeating a named Federal candidate? If so, are they subject to criminal penalties if they know from fundraising appeals that the purpose of their contribution is the defeat of a specific Federal candidate? Does it matter if the stated public purpose is the defeat of a specific candidate but all the messages themselves from ABC do not contain express advocacy? [48]

Requests pertaining to the activities of a third party do not qualify as advisory opinion requests. 11 CFR 112.1(b). Moreover, an advisory opinion request must include a complete description of all facts relevant to the specific transaction. 11 CFR 112.1(c). Paragraph [48] presents questions as to the activities of third parties, including potentially large numbers of donors in a variety of circumstances. Finally, the Commission notes that it does not have authority to impose criminal penalties, and thus offers no opinion as to whether any conduct would be subject to them.

14. May ABC use non-Federal funds to pay for voter identification communications that clearly identify a Federal candidate and that ask questions in a manner that promotes

his candidacy? [67]

No. Paragraph [67] refers to mass mailings and telephone banks to identify voters, which candidates they support, and which issues motivate them. The message will contain several questions such as "Do you believe your taxes are too high?" and "Are you in favor of improving education?", along with questions such as "Are you in favor of President Bush's efforts to lower taxes?" or his "efforts to improve education?" or his "efforts for a strong defense?" The communication will finish with a question as to whether the reader or listener intends to vote on November 2. No other candidate is mentioned. This

[&]quot;candidates of a particular party or associated with a particular issue" applies to the word "support" but does not apply to the other activities mentioned in the regulation.

1	communication promotes and supports President Bush by referencing his "efforts to
2	improve education" and his "efforts for a strong defense." Consistent with the analysis
3	above, it must be paid for entirely with Federal funds.
4	
5	Fundraising
6	
7.	15. ABC wishes to have Federal officeholders and candidates assist in its fundraising
8	activities as permitted by the Act. May Federal officeholders and candidates raise
9	funds for ABC's Federal account? [38]
10	
11	Yes, a Federal officeholder or candidate may solicit funds for ABC's Federal
12	account if he or she only asks for Federally permissible funds. See Advisory Opinions
13	2003-36, 2003-5 and 2003-3. ²²
14	11 CFR 102.5(a)(2) provides that contributions that were designated for ABC's
15	Federal account, or that result from a solicitation that expressly states that the contribution
16	will be used in connection with a Federal election, or that are from contributors who have
17	been informed that all contributions are subject to the prohibitions and limitations of the
18	Act, may be deposited in ABC's Federal account. See, e.g., Advisory Opinion 2000-25.
19	Because ABC is a political committee, contributions to ABC's Federal account must meet
20	at least one of these criteria.
21	·
22 23 24	16. ABC wishes to have Federal officeholders and candidates assist in its fundraising activities as permitted by the Act. May Federal officeholders and candidates raise funds for ABC's non-Federal account? [39]

²² The answers to questions 15 and 16 collectively mean that a Federal candidate or officeholder may only solicit funds for ABC's Federal and non-Federal accounts to the extent that the combined amounts solicited for the Federal and non-Federal accounts do not exceed the amounts permitted under the Act's contribution limits. 11 CFR 300.62; see Explanation and Justification to Prohibited and Excessive Contributions: Non-Federal Funds or Soft Money, 67 Fed. Reg. 49, 107.

1		
•		
_		

Yes, if the Federal officeholders or candidates only ask for funds that are not in 2 3 excess of the amounts permitted with respect to contributions to candidates under 2 U.S.C. 4 441a(a), and that are not from sources prohibited by the Act from making contributions in 5 connection with an election for Federal office. 6 As amended by BCRA, the Act regulates certain actions of Federal candidates and officeholders, ²³ their agents, ²⁴ and entities directly or indirectly established, financed, 7 maintained, or controlled by them²⁵ (together, "covered persons") when they raise or spend 8 9 funds in connection with either Federal or non-Federal elections. 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1). Both 10 BCRA and the Commission's rules implementing BCRA prohibit covered persons from 11 soliciting, receiving, directing, transferring, or spending "funds in connection with any 12 election other than an election for Federal office" "unless the funds are not in excess of the 13 amounts permitted with respect to contributions to candidates and political committees" 14 under 2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(1), (2), and (3), and are not from sources prohibited by the Act from making contributions in connection with an election for Federal office. 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(B); 11 CFR 300.62.26 See Advisory Opinions 2003-36 and 2003-03. 16 17 18

19

20

^{17.} May Federal officeholders or candidates attend and/or speak at fundraising events for ABC's non-Federal account that raises funds outside the Act's contribution and source limitations? [40] and [41]

²³ Under 2 U.S.C. 431(3), "Federal office" means "the office of President or Vice President, or of Senator or Representative in, or Delegate or Resident Commissioner to, the Congress." See also 11 CFR 100.4. ²⁴ 11 CFR 300.2(b)(3).

²⁵ 11 CFR 300.60.

²⁶ Under the Act, the following persons may not contribute in connection with a Federal election: National banks, corporations, and labor organizations (2 U.S.C. 441b); Federal government contractors (2 U.S.C. 441c); and foreign nationals (2 U.S.C. 441e). It is unlawful for the following persons to contribute or donate in connection with any election: National banks and corporations organized by authority of Congress (2 U.S.C. 441b) and foreign nationals (2 U.S.C. 441e).

20

21

22

23

1 2	Yes, Federal officeholders and candidates may attend and speak at fundraising
3	events for ABC's non-Federal account that raise funds outside the Act's contribution limits
4	and source prohibitions, but they may not solicit funds that are outside the amount
5	limitations and source prohibitions of the Act. Advisory Opinions 2003-36 and 2003-03.
6	The scope of a covered person's potential liability under 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(B) and
7	11 CFR 300.62 must be determined by his or her own speech and actions in asking for
8	funds or those of his or her agents, but not by the speech or actions of another person
9	outside his or her control. Commission regulations establish that a Federal officeholder or
10	candidate will not be held liable for soliciting funds in violation of section 441i(e)(1)(B) or
11	section 300.62 merely by virtue of attending or participating in any manner in connection
12	with a fundraising event at which non-Federal funds are raised. To be liable, the Federal
13	candidate must "ask" for non-Federal funds. Id., see also 11 CFR 300.2(m),(n): McConnell,
14	124 S.Ct. at 619; see also, and "Prohibited and Excessive Contributions: Non-Federal
15	Funds or Soft Money; Final Rule," 67 Fed. Reg. 49,064, 49,086-49,087 (July 29, 2002).
16	Thus, the scope of a covered person's potential liability under 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1) and 11
17	CFR 300.62 will be determined by his or her own speech and actions in asking for funds or
18	those of his or her agents, but not by the speech or actions of another person outside his or

If a covered person makes a solicitation, such solicitation must include or be accompanied by a clear and conspicuous message indicating that the covered individual is only asking for funds the that comply with the amount limitations and source prohibitions of the Act. The following is considered to be an adequate disclaimer:

her control. See Advisory Opinions 2003-36, 2003-05 and 2003-03.

I am asking for a donation of up to \$5,000 per year. I am not asking for funds from corporations, labor organizations, or other Federally prohibited sources.

5 If a covered person gives a speech soliciting funds generally without mentioning specific

- 6 amounts, sources, or limitations, he may do so if written notices are clearly and
- 7 conspicuously displayed at the event indicating that the covered person is soliciting only
- 8 Federally permissible funds;²⁷ or if a public oral disclaimer is made. See Advisory
- 9 Opinions 2003-36 and 2003-03.

18. May Federal officeholders or candidates be named in the invitation as honored guests, or as featured speakers, or as hosts, for fundraising events for ABC's non-Federal account? [42]

Yes, within the limits of 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(B) and 11 CFR 300.62. Section 441i(e)(1)(B) and section 300.62 only apply to an invitation to an event where that invitation constitutes a solicitation for funds, and where the covered person approved, authorized, or agreed or consented to be featured, or named in, the invitation (e.g., through the use of his name or likeness). The mere mention of a covered person in the text of a written invitation does not, without more, constitute a solicitation or direction of non-Federal funds by that covered person. However, a candidate's consent or agreement to be mentioned in an invitation as an honored guest, featured speaker or host, where that invitation is a solicitation, constitutes a solicitation by the candidate. Thus, if a candidate agrees or consents to be named in a fundraising solicitation as an honored guest, featured speaker or host, or if the invitation constitutes a solicitation for any other reason, then the solicitation must contain a clear and conspicuous statement that it the entire solicitation is

See 11 CFR 110.11(c) for the Commission's interpretation of "clear and conspicuous" in related contexts.

1	limited to funds that comply with the amount limits and source prohibitions of the Act. See
2	generally Advisory Opinions 2003-36 and 2003-03.
3	Complying with these requirements regarding the written invitation does not relieve
4	the covered person of the requirements as to his or her actual appearance at the subsequent
5	event as an honored guest or featured speaker, as set out in the response to questions 16 and
6	17. The requirements set out in response to question 17 as to the speech and disclaimer by
7	the covered person, clear and conspicuous written notices, and conversations by the covered
8	person are still applicable.
9	
10 11 12	19. May Federal officeholders or candidates sign written solicitations for the non-Federal account that raise funds outside the Act's contribution and source limitations? [43]
13	No. Federal officeholders and candidates may not sign written solicitations for
14	ABC's non-Federal account that raise funds outside the Act's contribution limits and source
15	prohibitions. 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(B); 11 CFR 300.62; Advisory Opinion 2003-03.
16	
17 18 19 20 21 22	20. If Federal officeholders or candidates cannot speak or participate in a fundraising event for ABC's non-Federal account, may ABC have a fundraiser for its Federal account with the Federal officeholders and candidates present, and then immediately adjourn to an adjacent location for a non-Federal soft dollar fundraising event at which the Federal officeholders and candidates are not present? [44]
23	This question is moot in light of the answer to question 17.
24	
25 26 27 28 29 30	21. May ABC solicit Federal funds by using the names of specific Federal candidates in solicitations that will convey ABC's support for or opposition to specific Federal candidates, assuming no coordination between ABC and any Federal candidates? Solicitations for Federal funds would be through mass mailings and broadcast advertising. For example, could ABC use the following message: "ABC supports President Bush's tax cuts to stimulate the economy. Give to ABC so that we can

1 support President Bush's agenda." Or, could ABC use the following message: "ABC 2 supports President Bush's tax cuts to stimulate the economy. Give to ABC so that we 3 can support President Bush's reelection." [21]. [24] 4 5 Yes. In the situation you describe, a Although ABC will use the names of specific 6 Federal candidates in solicitations, ABC will not coordinate with the candidates, and the 7 candidates will not solicit, receive, direct, transfer, spend or disburse funds outside of the 8 amount limitations, source prohibitions or reporting requirements of the Act. Thus, neither 9 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(A), nor 11 CFR 300.61, nor any other provision of the Act or 10 Commission regulations, would bar ABC's fundraising through the use of the names of 11 specific Federal candidates in a manner that will convey ABC's support for or opposition to specific Federal candidates.²⁸ 12 13 14 22. May ABC solicit non-Federal funds by using the names of specific Federal candidates 15 in solicitations that will convey ABC's support for or opposition to specific Federal 16 candidates, assuming no coordination between ABC and any Federal candidates? Solicitations for non-Federal funds would be through mass mailings, broadcast 17 advertising, and in person solicitations using printed materials and taped messages. 18 Could ABC use the messages presented in the immediately preceding question for this 19 20 purpose? [25] 21 22 No. 2 U.S.C. 431(8) provides that a contribution includes "any gift, subscription, 23 loan, advance, or deposit of money or anything of value made by any person for the purpose of influencing any election for Federal office." If ABC, which is a political 24 25 committee, solicits funds by using the names of specific Federal candidates in a manner that 26 will convey ABC's plan to use those funds to support for oppose ition to specific Federal candidates such as "Give to ABC so we can support President Bush's reelection [25]," the 27

²⁸ Please see the answer to question 15, above, regarding the conditions for deposit of Federal funds under 11 CFR 102.5.

- 1 funds raised will be contributions to ABC subject to the Act's contribution limits and
- 2 source prohibitions. 29 Id.; 11 CFR102.5(a)(2)(ii).- Cf. FEC v. Survival Education Fund,
- 3 Inc., 65 F.3d 285 (1995) (solicitations for funds, styled as contributions, for an incorporated
- 4 issue advocacy group are subject to regulation under the Act). Thus, ABC may not solicit
- 5 non-Federal funds by using the names of specific Federal candidates in a manner that will
- 6 convey ABC's support for or opposition to specific Federal candidates.

23. May ABC sponsor an issues forum at which Federal officeholders or candidates speak, and then adjourn later in the same day to a different location for a non-Federal fundraiser that is not attended by Federal officeholders or candidates? The invitation to this event would include two separate pieces, each with its own disclaimer. One would be for the issues forum alone and would contain no electioneering or fundraising message. The second piece would be a fundraising piece for the non-Federal dollar fundraising that either did not mention or include Federal officeholders and candidates or, in the alternative, included Federal officeholders and candidates only to the extent permitted by the Commission. [45]

Yes, as a non-connected political committee, ABC may sponsor an issues forum, which the Commission understands you intend to be a forum in which solicitations for funds do not occur. As explained in the answers to question 17, a covered person may be included on the invitations, subject to the limits of section 441i(e)(1)(B) and section 300.62. A Federal officeholder or candidate may speak at ABC's issues forum. However, the invitation to the issues forum and the fundraising solicitation must be in separate mailings, or the entire mailing must satisfy the conditions set out in the answer to question 18, above.

24. May ABC raise and spend funds from its non-Federal accounts from foreign nationals
 and from foreign corporations and labor organizations for voter registration and voter

²⁹ The Commission notes that the joint fundraising rules at 11 CFR 102.17 do not apply to the solicitation about which you inquire.

20

21

22

23

2 "register to help reelect President Bush") or with an issue advocacy message outside the 30 or 60 day windows (e.g., "Register. It's your duty.")? [49] 3 4 No. The Act, as amended by BCRA, prohibits foreign nationals³⁰ from, among 5 6 other things, directly or indirectly making a contribution or donation of money or other 7 thing of value, or to expressly or impliedly promise to make a contribution or donation, in 8 connection with a Federal, State, or local election (this prohibition includes not only 9 elections for political office, but also ballot measures and other types of elections). 2 10 U.S.C. 441e(a)(1)(A); 11 CFR 110.20. The Act as amended by BCRA also bars foreign 11 nationals from making a contribution or donation of money or other things of value for 12 independent expenditures or disbursements for electioneering communications. 2 U.S.C. 13 441e(a)(1)(C). See also 11 CFR 100.20(e) and (f). Also, it is unlawful for a person to 14 solicit, accept, or receive a contribution or donation from a foreign national. 2 U.S.C. 15 441e(a)(2). 11 CFR 110.20(g) provides that no person shall knowingly solicit, accept or 16 receive a contribution or donation from a foreign national, and 11 CFR 110.20(h) provides 17 that no person shall knowingly provide substantial assistance in the making of an 18 expenditure, independent expenditure or donation by a foreign national. Accordingly, ABC 19 must not deposit such funds into its Federal or non-Federal account. Nothing in the Act or

mobilization activities on behalf of Federal candidates with express advocacy (e.g.,

Commission regulations creates an exception on the basis of the political committee's

25. If Federal candidates or officeholders participate in the requestors' fundraising

activities in any scenario above, would they be "solicit[ing] . . . funds in connection

intended use for the foreign national funds.

³⁰ The following are considered foreign nationals for purposes of the Act: foreign governments; foreign political parties; foreign corporations; foreign associations; foreign partnerships; individuals with foreign citizenship; and United States immigrants who do not have a "green card." 11 CFR 110.20(a)(3).

1 2 3	with an election other than an election for Federal office" under 2 U.S.C. 441i(e)(1)(B)? [46]
4	The Commission cannot resolve whether any of the fundraising scenarios you
5	generally describe above are in connection with any election other than an election for
6	Federal office without more specific information regarding those communications (e.g.,
7	none of the exhibits to ABC's request for an advisory opinion identify a candidate for State
8	district or local political office). As such, this question is hypothetical and it presents a
9	general question of interpretation of the Act, rather than a specific transaction or activity,
10	and is thus not proper for an advisory opinion. 2 U.S.C. 437f(a)(1); 11 CFR 112.1(b).
11	The Commission expresses no opinion regarding qualification for tax treatment
12	under 26 U.S.C. 527 or any other ramifications of the proposed activities under the Internal
13	Revenue Code because those questions are outside the Commission's jurisdiction.
14	This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of the Act
15	and Commission regulations to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your request.
16	See 2 U.S.C. 437f. The Commission emphasizes that, if there is a change in any of the facts
17	or assumptions presented, and such facts or assumptions are material to a conclusion
18	presented in this advisory opinion, then the requestor may not rely on that conclusion as
19	support for its proposed activity.
20 21 22 23	Sincerely,
24 25 26	Bradley A. Smith Chairman
27	
28	Enclosures (AOs 2003-36, 2003-5, 2003-3, and 2000-25)