## SIDLEY & AUSTIN A PARTNERSHIP INCLUDING PROPESSIONAL CORPORATIONS

ONE FIRST NATIONAL PLAZA CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60603 318: 853-7000 FAX: 312-853-7318

2049 CENTUEY PARE EAST LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067 213: 553-6100 PAX: 213-556-6544

875 THIED AVENUE NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022 212: 418-2100 FAX: 212-418-2165

> Michael A. Nemeroff (202) 429-4237

1722 EYE STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006
TELEPHONE 202: 429-4000
TELEX 89-463
FACSIMILE 202: 429-6144

18 King William Street London, EC4n 78A, England 441: 621-1616 PAX: 441-626-7937

5 SHENTON WAY SINGAPORE 0106 65: 224-5000 FAX: 65-224-0530

## ASSOCIATED OFFICE:

HASHIDATE LAW OFFICE IMPERIAL TOWER, 7TH FLOOR 1-1, UCRISAIWAICHO 1-CHONE CHIYODA-RU, TOKYO 100 JAPAN 03-504-3800 FAX: 03-504-1009

August 22, 1990

Chairman Lee Ann Elliott Federal Election Commission 999 E Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20463 Late Requestor Comment AOR 1990-14

Dear Commissioner Elliott:

The Commission is scheduled to take up Advisory Opinion Request 1990-14 at the Commission's meeting on Thursday, August 23, 1990. We have reviewed the draft opinion prepared by the Commission staff, and we request two changes to the draft.

First, we request that the opinion more clearly answer question 3, which asks whether AT&T complies with the Federal Election Campaign Act if it follows its usual and normal billing and collection procedures at its usual and normal charge (see page 11, lines 21 through 28 of the draft opinion). The staff's draft opinion seems to imply that AT&T would comply with the Act if it follows such procedures except for the hypothetical example (and similar situations) which is described beginning at page 13, line 17. We request that the Commission add a sentence that clarifies this implied conclusion by adding a sentence at line 17 which states: "In most circumstances, AT&T would comply with the Federal Election Campaign Act if it provides its usual and normal services at its usual and normal charges." We also suggest that the next sentence begin with the word "However."

Second, we suggest that the draft include an additional alternative procedure which AT&T may follow to avoid the hypothetical problem discussed beginning at page 13, line 17. In addition to the solutions proposed by the draft beginning at page 14, line 21, we suggest that the Commission add the following: "AT&T also may comply with the Act by monitoring campaigns where it provides services and refusing to remit funds if it determines that an adverse event in the campaign will make it likely that a large number of callers will refuse to pay for their 900 calls."

90 AUG 22 PM 4: 04

HOISSING COLLEGE IN THE STATE OF THE STATE O

this is implicit

Chairman Lee Ann Elliott August 22, 1990 Page 2

We hope that you will consider these suggested additions to the draft Advisory Opinion prepared by the staff.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael A. Nemeroff Nancy A. Temple

CC: Vice Chairman John Warren McGarry
Commissioner Joan D. Aikens
Commissioner Thomas J. Josefiak
Commissioner Danny Lee McDonald
Commissioner Scott E. Thomas
General Counsel Lawrence M. Noble
John Levin, Esq.